

## Taylor goes imaginary

E. Laermann<sup>a</sup>, M.-P. Lombardo<sup>b</sup> and F. Meyer<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> University of Bielefeld, <sup>b</sup> Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati



### Introduction

Treating QCD at non-vanishing baryon density numerically suffers from the sign problem such that so far only approximate methods have been used to gain information at least at small values of the chemical potentials [1] which are, however, in the region phenomenologically relevant for RHIC and LHC physics.

In this paper we compare results obtained at imaginary values of the quark chemical potentials  $\mu_i = i\mu_I$ - where lattice simulations are possible - with Taylor expansions. Moreover, we aim at an estimate of the curvature of the pseudocritical line in the  $\mu - T$  plane.

Our study for staggered 2+1 flavors on lattices of size  $16^3 \times 4$  clearly is exploratory. However, the quark mass values are close to the ones realized in nature. The Goldstone pion mass is tuned to about 220 MeV and the kaon acquires its physical mass. This corresponds to a (degenerate) light to strange quark mass ratio of  $m_l/m_s = 1/10$ . The action utilized is the p4fat3 action for which Taylor coefficients computed at  $\mu_i = 0$  are available in our  $\beta$  range and at our quark masses [2].

## **Comparison with the Taylor expansion**

In the Taylor expansion approach one writes the pressure p as a series in terms of the quark chemical potentials around  $\vec{\mu}_0 = (\mu_{u0}, \mu_{d0}, \mu_{s0})$ 

$\frac{p}{r_4}(\vec{\mu}) =$	$\sum_{ijk} c^{uds}_{ijk}(\vec{\mu}_0)$	$\left(\frac{\mu_u - \mu_{u0}}{T}\right)$	$\left(\frac{\mu_d - \mu_d}{T}\right)^i \left(\frac{\mu_d - \mu_d}{T}\right)^i$	$\left(\frac{\mu_{d0}}{2}\right)^j \left($	$\left(\frac{\mu_s - \mu_{s0}}{T}\right)$	k
	0,000					

where usually  $\vec{\mu}_0 = 0$  is chosen. Quark number densities  $n_i$  and susceptibilities  $\chi_i$ , for instance

$$\frac{n_u}{T^3}(\vec{\mu}) = \frac{\partial(p/T^4)}{\partial(\mu_u/T)} = \sum_{ijk} i \, c_{ijk}^{uds}(\vec{\mu}_0) \left(\frac{\mu_u - \mu_{u0}}{T}\right)^{i-1} \left(\frac{\mu_d - \mu_{d0}}{T}\right)^j \left(\frac{\mu_s - \mu_{s0}}{T}\right)^k$$

## Locating the pseudocritical line

For small values of the quark chemical potentials  $\mu_i$ , i = u, d, s, the pseudo-critical line is expected to be described by

 $\frac{T}{T_c} = 1 - \sum_{i} \kappa_i \left(\frac{\mu_i}{T}\right)^2$ 

In order to estimate the  $\kappa_i$  we simulated QCD for 2+1 flavors at a variety of imaginary values for the chemical potentials which were taken to be degenerate,  $\mu_u = \mu_d = \mu_s = i\mu_I$ , in the interval  $0 \leq \mu_I \leq (\pi/3)T$ , the Roberge-Weiss limit. The computations were carried out at two temperatures above and one below the pseudo-critical temperature at the light quark mass  $m_u = m_d = m_l = (1/10)m_s$ : T = 205, 210, 218 MeV. The lowest temperature is above the critical temperature  $T_c$  in the chiral limit for the p4fat3 action at  $N_{\tau} = 4$ .

While the **Polyakov loop** L is not very sensitive to the transition, the **light quark chiral conden**sate  $\langle \psi \psi \rangle_u$  is showing a fairly rapid rise when  $\mu_I$  is increased.



The disconnected **chiral susceptibility**  $\chi_u$  peaks at a pseudo-critical chemical potential.



# $\frac{\chi_u}{T^2}(\vec{\mu}) = \frac{\partial^2 (p/T^4)}{\partial (\mu_u/T)^2} = \sum_{i,i,k} i(i-1) c_{ijk}^{uds}(\vec{\mu}_0) \left(\frac{\mu_u - \mu_{u0}}{T}\right)^{i-2} \left(\frac{\mu_d - \mu_{d0}}{T}\right)^j \left(\frac{\mu_s - \mu_{s0}}{T}\right)^k$

#### are then easily obtained.

In the following we compare the light quark number density  $n_q$  and its susceptibility  $\chi_q$ computed at non-vanishing  $\mu_I$  with the predictions of a Taylor expansion around  $\vec{\mu}_0 = 0$ :



The error bands have been obtained by adding the errors on the Taylor coefficients in absolute value, and such are overestimated.

In principle one can do the expansion around various imaginary  $\vec{\mu}_0$  and continue to real  $\vec{\mu}$  thereby checking for reliability. Moreover, the error of the expansion could be estimated. This was so far beyond reach within the available computing resources.

Assuming degenerate curvature parameters  $\kappa_i$ , from a fit to the pseudo-critical values of  $\mu_I$  we obtain  $\kappa_i = 0.030(2)$ Twice that value is to be compared with the value  $\kappa_q = 0.059(2)(4)$  from [3]. Note however, that the latter value is the curvature in the chiral limit.

Since [4] has provided evidence that the light quark mass of  $m_s/10$  is in the chiral O(N) scaling window, we have been tempted to confront our data with O(N) scaling behavior. The magnetization

 $M = m_s \langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle_u$ 

is thus fitted to the universal scaling function  $f_G$  as given in [5]

 $M = h^{1/\delta} f_G(z)$ 

where

$$h = \frac{1}{h_0} \frac{m_l}{m_s} \qquad t = \frac{1}{t_0} \left[ \frac{T}{T_c} - 1 - 3\kappa_i \left( \frac{\mu_I}{T} \right)^2 \right] \qquad z = t h^{-1/\beta\delta}$$
(1)

Note that the normalization constants  $h_0, t_0$  as well as  $T_c$ , the critical temperature in the chiral limit for our action and at  $N_{\tau} = 4$ , are known from [4] such that we treat  $\kappa_i$  as the sole fit parameter. For larger  $\mu_I$  this assumption could be modified due to several effects which are under investigation.



## Quark number density and susceptibility vs. O(N)

When the singular part of the free energy density  $f_s$  is known the scaling behavior of  $n_q$  and  $\chi_q$ , or equivalently of the appropriate Taylor coefficients, e.g.  $c_1 = c_{100}^{uds}$ ,  $c_2 = c_{200}^{uds}$ , can be predicted as long as the  $\mu_i$  dependence of t can safely be approximated by (1). For O(4) symmetric model  $f_s = h_0 h^{1+1/\delta} f_f(z)$ has been reconstructed in [5] via the relation

 $f_G(z) = -\left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta}\right)f_f(z) + \frac{z}{\beta\delta}f'_f(z) \quad .$ 

In similar fashion, an interpolation of  $f_G(z)$  in O(2) has been undertaken in [6].

These interpolations for  $f_s(z)$ , together with a regular contribution  $\sim t$  (h is fixed throughout all our simulations), have been used to fit the data for  $c_1, c_2$  at imaginary  $\mu$ . Again,  $\kappa_i$  is the sole fit parameter. Note that the data at  $\vec{\mu} = 0$  has not been included in the fits.



### The fit results for $\kappa_i$ are summarized in the following table:

	Т	205  MeV	$210 { m MeV}$	218  MeV
O(2)	$c_1$	0.021(1)	0.021(1)	0.016(1)
	$c_2$	0.023(1)	0.021(1)	0.016(2)
O(4)	$c_1$	0.039(1)	0.036(1)	0.023(2)
	$c_2$	0.042(2)	0.036(1)	0.023(3)

While the fit results for  $\kappa_i$ , at least for O(2), do not seem to be inconsistent, it is clear that at values for

The data points at vanishing  $\mu_I$  have not been used in the fits. The fits seem to work reasonably well except at the highest  $\mu_I$  values and return the following  $\kappa_i$  values:

Τ	205  MeV	210  MeV	$218 \mathrm{MeV}$
O(2)	0.026(1)	0.031(1)	0.025(3)
O(4)	0.022(1)	0.028(1)	0.025(2)

 $\mu_I$  close to the Roberge-Weiss limit, other scaling studies need to be performed in the future.

### References

1. see e.g. a recent review by L. Levkova, PoS LATTICE 2011 (2011) 011. 2. M. Cheng et al., Phys. Rev. D79 (2009) 074505. 3. O. Kaczmarek et al., Phys. Rev. D83 (2011) 014504. 4. S. Ejiri et al., Phys. Rev. D80 (2009) 094505. 5. J. Engels and F. Karsch, Phys. Rev. D85 (2012) 094506. 6. F. Meyer, Master thesis, Bielefeld 2012.