Analytic bootstrap at large spin

Aninda Sinha Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore



 Work done with Apratim Kaviraj, Kallol Sen arXiv:1502.0143 and to appear soon.

Summary of main results

• Given a (4d for most part) CFT with a scalar operator of dimension Δ_{ϕ} and a spin-2 (minimal) twist-2 operator there is an infinite sequence of large spin operators of dimension

$$\begin{split} \Delta &= 2\Delta_{\phi} + 2n + \ell + \overbrace{\gamma(n,\ell)}^{\bullet} \text{-Anomalous dim.} \\ \ell \gg n \gg 1 \qquad \gamma(n,\ell) = -\frac{160}{c_T} \frac{n^4}{\ell^2} \\ n \gg \ell \gg 1 \qquad \gamma(n,\ell) = -\frac{80}{c_T} \frac{n^3}{\ell} \end{split} \quad \langle T_{ab}(x)T_{cd}(x') \rangle = \frac{c_T}{|x-x'|^{2d}} \mathcal{I}_{ab,cd}(x-x') \end{split}$$

• We can think of these operators as double trace operators of the form

 $O_1 \partial_{\mu_1} \cdots \partial_{\mu_\ell} (\partial^2)^n O_1$

 However the CFT bootstrap analysis of course only yields conformal dimension, spin and the OPE coefficients and not the precise form of these operators.

Why is this interesting?

- Result is universal. Does not depend on lagrangian or the dimension of the seed operator. Just assumes twist gap of these operators from other operators in the spectrum.
- Anomalous dimension of double trace operators is related to bulk Shapiro time delay. Sign of anomalous dimension is related to causality. Interplay between unitarity of CFT and causality of bulk.
- Can be extended to arbitrary (eg. 3d) dimensions.
 May be relevant for 3d Ising model at criticality.

Rychkov et al

- Can compare with AdS/CFT. Two different ways to calculate the anomalous dimensions a) Eikonal approximation of 2-2 scattering b) Energy shift in a black hole background.
- Turns out that the result matches exactly with the AdS/CFT prediction.
- Another example where dynamics match without needing supersymmetry.

"s-channel" "t-channel"













0 Crossing Can only be reproduced upon $u \leftrightarrow v$ considering large spin operators on the RHS even spin "t-channel" "s-channel" $1 + \sum_{\tau \ \ell} P_{\tau,\ell} g_{\tau,\ell}(u,v) = \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{\Delta_{\phi}} \left(1 + \sum_{\tau,\ell} P_{\tau,\ell} g_{\tau,\ell}(v,u)\right)$ $u = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{24}^2 x_{12}^2}, \quad v = \frac{x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2}{x_{24}^2 x_{12}^2}$

Conformal cross ratios

Crossing Can only be reproduced upon $u \leftrightarrow v$ considering large spin operators on the RHS even spin "t-channel" "s-channel" $1 + \sum_{\tau,\ell} P_{\tau,\ell} g_{\tau,\ell}(u,v) = \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{\Delta_{\phi}} \left(1 + \sum_{\tau,\ell} P_{\tau,\ell} g_{\tau,\ell}(v,u)\right)$ $u = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{24}^2 x_{12}^2}, \quad v = \frac{x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2}{x_{24}^2 x_{12}^2}$ Conformal cross ratios Twist $\tau = \Delta - \ell$



Crossing Can only be $u \leftrightarrow v$ reproduced upon considering large spin operators on the RHS even spin "t-channel" "s-channel" $1 + \sum_{\tau,\ell} P_{\tau,\ell} g_{\tau,\ell}(u,v) = \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{\Delta_{\phi}} \left(1 + \sum_{\tau,\ell} P_{\tau,\ell} g_{\tau,\ell}(v,u)\right)$ $u = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{24}^2 x_{12}^2}, \quad v = \frac{x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2}{x_{24}^2 x_{12}^2}$ Conformal cross ratios Twist $\tau = \Delta - \ell$ $OPE \times OPE$ $P_{\tau,\ell}$ $g_{\tau,\ell}(u,v)$ **Blocks** Dolan, Osborn; Saturday, 21 March 15

Dolan, Osborn;

Dolan, Osborn;

Closed form expressions for conformal blocks are known only in even dimensions.

However, simplifications occur in certain limits

Fitzpatrick et al; Komargodski, Zhiboedov

However, simplifications occur in certain limits

Fitzpatrick et al; Komargodski, Zhiboedov

Dolan, Osborn;

 $\ell \gg 1$ $v \ll 1, u < 1$

In the crossed channel we interchange u, v

However, simplifications occur in certain limits

Fitzpatrick et al; Komargodski, Zhiboedov

Dolan, Osborn;

$$\ell \gg 1$$

 $v \ll 1, u < 1$

 $\beta \sim 1$

In the crossed channel we interchange u, v

$$g_{\tau,\ell}^{(d)}(u,v) = u^{\frac{\tau}{2}}(1-v)^{\ell}{}_{2}F_{1}(\frac{\tau}{2}+\ell,\frac{\tau}{2}+\ell,\tau+2\ell,1-v)F^{(d)}(\tau,u)$$

"factorizes" (twist,spin,v) x (twist, u, d)

However, simplifications occur in certain limits

Fitzpatrick et al; Komargodski, Zhiboedov

Dolan, Osborn;

$$\ell \gg 1$$

 $v \ll 1, u < 1$

 $\rho \sim 1$

In the crossed channel we interchange u, v

$$g_{\tau,\ell}^{(d)}(u,v) = u^{\frac{\tau}{2}}(1-v)^{\ell}{}_{2}F_{1}(\frac{\tau}{2}+\ell,\frac{\tau}{2}+\ell,\tau+2\ell,1-v)F^{(d)}(\tau,u)$$

"factorizes" (twist,spin,v) x (twist, u, d)

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{\bar{z}-z}{(1-z)(1-\bar{z})}\right)^2 g_{\Delta,\ell}^{(d)}(v,u) = g_{\Delta-2,\ell}^{(d-2)}(v,u) - \frac{4(\ell-2)(d+\ell-3)}{(d+2\ell-4)(d+2\ell-2)} g_{\Delta-2,\ell}^{(d-2)}(v,u) \\ & - \frac{4(d-\Delta-3)(d-\Delta-2)}{d-2\Delta-2)(d-2\Delta)} \left[\frac{(\Delta+\ell)^2}{16(\Delta+\ell-1)(\Delta+\ell+1)} g_{\Delta,\ell+2}^{(d-2)}(v,u) - \frac{(d+\ell-4)(d+\ell-3)(d+\ell-\Delta-2)^2}{4(d+2\ell-4)(d+2\ell-2)(d+\ell-\Delta-3)(d+\ell-\Delta-1)} g_{\Delta,\ell}^{(d-2)}(v,u) \right] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\bar{z}-z}{(1-z)(1-\bar{z})} \end{pmatrix}^2 g_{\Delta,\ell}^{(d)}(v,u) = g_{\Delta-2,\ell}^{(d-2)}(v,u) - \frac{4(\ell-2)(d+\ell-3)}{(d+2\ell-4)(d+2\ell-2)} g_{\Delta-2,\ell}^{(d-2)}(v,u)$$

$$- \frac{4(d-\Delta-3)(d-\Delta-2)}{d-2\Delta-2)(d-2\Delta)} \left[\frac{(\Delta+\ell)^2}{16(\Delta+\ell-1)(\Delta+\ell+1)} g_{\Delta,\ell+2}^{(d-2)}(v,u) - \frac{(d+\ell-4)(d+\ell-3)(d+\ell-\Delta-2)^2}{4(d+2\ell-4)(d+2\ell-2)(d+\ell-\Delta-3)(d+\ell-\Delta-1)} g_{\Delta,\ell}^{(d-2)}(v,u) \right]$$
Dolan, Osborn

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\bar{z}-z}{(1-z)(1-\bar{z})} \end{pmatrix}^2 g_{\Delta,\ell}^{(d)}(v,u) = g_{\Delta-2,\ell}^{(d-2)}(v,u) - \frac{4(\ell-2)(d+\ell-3)}{(d+2\ell-4)(d+2\ell-2)} g_{\Delta-2,\ell}^{(d-2)}(v,u)$$

$$- \frac{4(d-\Delta-3)(d-\Delta-2)}{d-2\Delta-2)(d-2\Delta)} \left[\frac{(\Delta+\ell)^2}{16(\Delta+\ell-1)(\Delta+\ell+1)} g_{\Delta,\ell+2}^{(d-2)}(v,u) - \frac{(d+\ell-4)(d+\ell-3)(d+\ell-\Delta-2)^2}{4(d+2\ell-4)(d+2\ell-2)(d+\ell-\Delta-3)(d+\ell-\Delta-1)} g_{\Delta,\ell}^{(d-2)}(v,u) \right]$$
Dolan, Osborn

Solution to recursion relations in closed form known only in even d.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\bar{z}-z}{(1-z)(1-\bar{z})} \end{pmatrix}^2 g_{\Delta,\ell}^{(d)}(v,u) = g_{\Delta-2,\ell}^{(d-2)}(v,u) - \frac{4(\ell-2)(d+\ell-3)}{(d+2\ell-4)(d+2\ell-2)} g_{\Delta-2,\ell}^{(d-2)}(v,u) \\ - \frac{4(d-\Delta-3)(d-\Delta-2)}{d-2\Delta-2)(d-2\Delta)} \left[\frac{(\Delta+\ell)^2}{16(\Delta+\ell-1)(\Delta+\ell+1)} g_{\Delta,\ell+2}^{(d-2)}(v,u) - \frac{(d+\ell-4)(d+\ell-3)(d+\ell-\Delta-2)^2}{4(d+2\ell-4)(d+2\ell-2)(d+\ell-\Delta-3)(d+\ell-\Delta-1)} g_{\Delta,\ell}^{(d-2)}(v,u) \right]$$

Solution to recursion relations in closed form known only in even d.

In the large spin limit and $u \ll 1, v < 1$ the recursion relation simplifies.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\bar{z}-z}{(1-z)(1-\bar{z})} \end{pmatrix}^2 g_{\Delta,\ell}^{(d)}(v,u) = g_{\Delta-2,\ell}^{(d-2)}(v,u) - \frac{4(\ell-2)(d+\ell-3)}{(d+2\ell-4)(d+2\ell-2)} g_{\Delta-2,\ell}^{(d-2)}(v,u)$$

$$- \frac{4(d-\Delta-3)(d-\Delta-2)}{d-2\Delta-2)(d-2\Delta)} \left[\frac{(\Delta+\ell)^2}{16(\Delta+\ell-1)(\Delta+\ell+1)} g_{\Delta,\ell+2}^{(d-2)}(v,u) - \frac{(d+\ell-4)(d+\ell-3)(d+\ell-\Delta-2)^2}{4(d+2\ell-4)(d+2\ell-2)(d+\ell-\Delta-3)(d+\ell-\Delta-1)} g_{\Delta,\ell}^{(d-2)}(v,u) \right]$$
Dolan, Osborn

Solution to recursion relations in closed form known only in even d.

In the large spin limit and $u \ll 1, v < 1$ the recursion relation simplifies.

$$(1-v)^2 F^{(d)}(\tau,v) = 16F^{(d-2)}(\tau-4,v) - 2vF^{(d-2)}(\tau-2,v) + \frac{(d-\tau-2)^2}{16(d-\tau-3)(d-\tau-1)}v^2 F^{(d-2)}(\tau,v) \,.$$

Gauss Hypergeometric

Gauss Hypergeometric

$$F^{(d)}(\tau, v) = \frac{2^{\tau}}{(1-v)^{\frac{d-2}{2}}} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\tau-d+2), \frac{1}{2}(\tau-d+2), (\tau-d+2), v\right)$$

Kaviraj, Sen, AS

Gauss Hypergeometric

$$F^{(d)}(\tau,v) = \frac{2^{\tau}}{(1-v)^{\frac{d-2}{2}}} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\tau-d+2), \frac{1}{2}(\tau-d+2), (\tau-d+2), v\right)$$

Kaviraj, Sen, AS

Bootstrap equation demands at leading order

Gauss Hypergeometric

$$F^{(d)}(\tau,v) = \frac{2^{\tau}}{(1-v)^{\frac{d-2}{2}}} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\tau-d+2), \frac{1}{2}(\tau-d+2), (\tau-d+2), v\right)$$

Kaviraj, Sen, AS

Bootstrap equation demands at leading order

$$1 \approx (\text{function of } \mathbf{u}) \times v^{\tau/2 - \Delta_{\phi}} (1 - v)^{\Delta_{\phi}} F^{(d)}(\tau, v)$$

Gauss Hypergeometric

$$F^{(d)}(\tau,v) = \frac{2^{\tau}}{(1-v)^{\frac{d-2}{2}}} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\tau-d+2), \frac{1}{2}(\tau-d+2), (\tau-d+2), v\right)$$

Kaviraj, Sen, AS

Bootstrap equation demands at leading order

$$1 \approx (\text{function of u}) \times v^{\tau/2 - \Delta_{\phi}} (1 - v)^{\Delta_{\phi}} F^{(d)}(\tau, v)$$

Needs large ℓ
New results from bootstrap

Gauss Hypergeometric

$$F^{(d)}(\tau,v) = \frac{2^{\tau}}{(1-v)^{\frac{d-2}{2}}} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\tau-d+2), \frac{1}{2}(\tau-d+2), (\tau-d+2), v\right)$$

Kaviraj, Sen, AS

Bootstrap equation demands at leading order

 $1 \approx (\text{function of u}) \times v^{\tau/2 - \Delta_{\phi}} (1 - v)^{\Delta_{\phi}} F^{(d)}(\tau, v)$ To match powers of v, we Needs large ℓ must have

New results from bootstrap

Gauss Hypergeometric

$$F^{(d)}(\tau,v) = \frac{2^{\tau}}{(1-v)^{\frac{d-2}{2}}} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\tau-d+2), \frac{1}{2}(\tau-d+2), (\tau-d+2), v\right)$$

Kaviraj, Sen, AS

Bootstrap equation demands at leading order

$$1 \approx (\text{function of u}) \times v^{\tau/2 - \Delta_{\phi}} (1 - v)^{\Delta_{\phi}} F^{(d)}(\tau, v)$$

To match powers of v, we Needs large ℓ
must have

$$\tau = 2\Delta_{\phi} + 2n$$

Fitzpatrick et al; Komargodski, Zhiboedov

New results from bootstrap

Gauss Hypergeometric

$$F^{(d)}(\tau,v) = \frac{2^{\tau}}{(1-v)^{\frac{d-2}{2}}} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\tau-d+2), \frac{1}{2}(\tau-d+2), (\tau-d+2), v\right)$$

Kaviraj, Sen, AS

Bootstrap equation demands at leading order

$$1 \approx (\text{function of u}) \times v^{\tau/2 - \Delta_{\phi}} (1 - v)^{\Delta_{\phi}} F^{(d)}(\tau, v)$$

To match powers of v, we Needs large ℓ
must have
 $\tau = 2\Delta_{\phi} + 2n$ Same as what appears in

Fitzpatrick et al; Komargodski, Zhiboedov

$$\Delta = 2\Delta_{\phi} + 2n + \ell + \gamma(n,\ell)$$

We can go to subleading order

$$P_m = P_{MFT} + c(n,\ell)$$

It can be shown that the anomalous dimension at large spin goes like an inverse power of the spin for dimension>2.

This means that we can treat the inverse spin as an expansion parameter and this result is true even for theories which does not have a "large N".

Our objective is to determine the n-dependence for the anomalous dimension.

After some clever detective work we find

$$\gamma(n,\ell)\ell^{\tau_m} = \sum_{m=0}^n C_{n,m}^{(d)} B_m^{(d)}$$

$$C_{n,m}^{(d)} = \frac{(-1)^{m+n}}{8} \left(\frac{\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}]}{(\Delta_{\phi} - d/2 + 1)_m} \right)^2 \frac{n!}{m!(n-m)!} (2\Delta_{\phi} + n + 1 - d)_m$$

$$B_k^{(d)} = -\frac{16P_m\Gamma[\tau_m + 2\ell_m]\Gamma[\tau_m/2 + \ell_m + k]^2}{\Gamma[1+k]^2\Gamma[\tau_m/2 + \ell_m]^4}$$

$$\times_3 F_2\left(-k, -k, -\frac{\tau_m}{2} - \ell_m - \frac{d-2}{2} + \Delta_\phi; 1 - \ell_m - \frac{\tau_m}{2} - k, 1 - \ell_m - \frac{\tau_m}{2} - k; 1 - \ell_m - \frac{\tau_m}{2} - \ell_m - \frac{$$

$_{3}F_{2}$: Since k is a positive integer, this is a polynomial.

At this stage, no amount of pleading with mathematica helped!



Kernel running for hours!

At this stage, no amount of pleading with mathematica helped!



Kernel running for hours!

After a lot of hard work, mathematica produces A=A

Progress is possible in 4d (and similar techniques apply in even d)

Progress is possible in 4d (and similar techniques apply in even d) $_{3}F_{2}(-m, -m-4 + \Delta_{\phi}; -2 - m, -2 - m; 1) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(m+1-k)^{2}(m+2-k)^{2}}{(m+1)^{2}(m+2)^{2}} \frac{\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}-4+k]}{\Gamma[k+1]\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}-4]}$

Progress is possible in 4d (and similar techniques
apply in even d)

$${}_{3F_2(-m,-m-4+\Delta_{\phi};-2-m,-2-m;1) = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{(m+1-k)^2(m+2-k)^2}{(m+1)^2(m+2)^2} \frac{\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}-4+k]}{\Gamma[k+1]\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}-4]}}{\Gamma[k+1]\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}-4]}}$$

$$= \frac{4[6m^2 + 6m(\Delta_{\phi}-1) + \Delta_{\phi}(\Delta_{\phi}-1)]}{(m+1)(m+2)\Gamma[m+3]} \frac{\Gamma[m+\Delta_{\phi}-1]}{\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}+1]}$$

Progress is possible in 4d (and similar techniques apply in even d) ${}_{3}F_{2}(-m, -m-4 + \Delta_{\phi}; -2 - m, -2 - m; 1) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(m+1-k)^{2}(m+2-k)^{2}}{(m+1)^{2}(m+2)^{2}} \frac{\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}-4+k]}{\Gamma[k+1]\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}-4]}$ $= \frac{4[6m^{2} + 6m(\Delta_{\phi}-1) + \Delta_{\phi}(\Delta_{\phi}-1)]}{(m+1)(m+2)\Gamma[m+3]} \frac{\Gamma[m+\Delta_{\phi}-1]}{\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}+1]}$

To reduce to one sum

Progress is possible in 4d (and similar techniques apply in even d) ${}_{3}F_{2}(-m, -m-4+\Delta_{\phi}; -2-m, -2-m; 1) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(m+1-k)^{2}(m+2-k)^{2}}{(m+1)^{2}(m+2)^{2}} \frac{\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}-4+k]}{\Gamma[k+1]\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}-4]}$ Use basic defn $= \frac{4[6m^{2}+6m(\Delta_{\phi}-1)+\Delta_{\phi}(\Delta_{\phi}-1)]}{(m+1)(m+2)\Gamma[m+3]} \frac{\Gamma[m+\Delta_{\phi}-1]}{\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}+1]}$

To reduce to one sum

$$\gamma(n,\ell)\ell^{2} = -(-1)^{n} \frac{80}{3c_{T}} \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^{m} [6m^{2} + 6m(\Delta_{\phi} - 1) + \Delta_{\phi}(\Delta_{\phi} - 1)] \\ \times \frac{\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}]\Gamma[n+1]\Gamma[2\Delta_{\phi} + m + n - 3]}{\Gamma[m+1]\Gamma[n-m+1]\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi} + m - 1]\Gamma[2\Delta_{\phi} + n - 3]}$$

Progress is possible in 4d (and similar techniques apply in even d) ${}_{3}F_{2}(-m, -m-4+\Delta_{\phi}; -2-m, -2-m; 1) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(m+1-k)^{2}(m+2-k)^{2}}{(m+1)^{2}(m+2)^{2}} \frac{\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}-4+k]}{\Gamma[k+1]\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}-4]}$ Use basic defn $= \frac{4[6m^{2}+6m(\Delta_{\phi}-1)+\Delta_{\phi}(\Delta_{\phi}-1)]}{(m+1)(m+2)\Gamma[m+3]} \frac{\Gamma[m+\Delta_{\phi}-1]}{\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}+1]}$

To reduce to one sum

$$\gamma(n,\ell)\ell^2 = -(-1)^n \frac{80}{3c_T} \sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^m [6m^2 + 6m(\Delta_{\phi} - 1) + \Delta_{\phi}(\Delta_{\phi} - 1)] \\ \times \frac{\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}]\Gamma[n+1]\Gamma[2\Delta_{\phi} + m + n - 3]}{\Gamma[m+1]\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi} + m - 1]\Gamma[2\Delta_{\phi} + n - 3]}$$

$$\times \frac{\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}]\Gamma[n+1]\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi} + m - 1]\Gamma[2\Delta_{\phi} + n - 3]}{\Gamma[m+1]\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi} + m - 1]\Gamma[2\Delta_{\phi} + n - 3]}$$

Progress is possible in 4d (and similar techniques apply in even d) ${}_{3}F_{2}(-m, -m-4+\Delta_{\phi}; -2-m, -2-m; 1) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(m+1-k)^{2}(m+2-k)^{2}}{(m+1)^{2}(m+2)^{2}} \frac{\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}-4+k]}{\Gamma[k+1]\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}-4]}$ Use basic defn $= \frac{4[6m^{2}+6m(\Delta_{\phi}-1)+\Delta_{\phi}(\Delta_{\phi}-1)]}{(m+1)(m+2)\Gamma[m+3]} \frac{\Gamma[m+\Delta_{\phi}-1]}{\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}+1]}$ To reduce to one sum

$$\begin{split} \gamma(n,\ell)\ell^2 &= -(-1)^n \frac{80}{3c_T} \sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^m [6m^2 + 6m(\Delta_{\phi} - 1) + \Delta_{\phi}(\Delta_{\phi} - 1)] \\ & \times \frac{\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}]\Gamma[n+1]\Gamma[2\Delta_{\phi} + m + n - 3]}{\Gamma[m+1]\Gamma[n-m+1]\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi} + m - 1]\Gamma[2\Delta_{\phi} + n - 3]} \\ \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \text{Using the following:} \\ \Gamma[x]\Gamma[1-x] &= \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi x} \end{split}$$

Progress is possible in 4d (and similar techniques apply in even d) ${}_{3}F_{2}(-m, -m-4+\Delta_{\phi}; -2-m, -2-m; 1) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(m+1-k)^{2}(m+2-k)^{2}}{(m+1)^{2}(m+2)^{2}} \frac{\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}-4+k]}{\Gamma[k+1]\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}-4]}$ Use basic defn $= \frac{4[6m^{2}+6m(\Delta_{\phi}-1)+\Delta_{\phi}(\Delta_{\phi}-1)]}{(m+1)(m+2)\Gamma[m+3]} \frac{\Gamma[m+\Delta_{\phi}-1]}{\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}+1]}$ To reduce to one sum $\gamma(n, \ell)\ell^{2} = -(-1)^{n} \frac{80}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{m}[6m^{2}+6m(\Delta_{\phi}-1)+\Delta_{\phi}(\Delta_{\phi}-1)]$

$$\begin{split} \gamma(n,\ell)\ell^2 &= -(-1)^n \frac{80}{3c_T} \sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^m [6m^2 + 6m(\Delta_{\phi}-1) + \Delta_{\phi}(\Delta_{\phi}-1)] \\ & \times \frac{\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}]\Gamma[n+1]\Gamma[2\Delta_{\phi}+m+n-3]}{\Gamma[m+1]\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}+m-1]\Gamma[2\Delta_{\phi}+n-3]} \end{split}$$

$$\Gamma[x]\Gamma[1-x] = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi x}$$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{n} z^m \frac{n!}{m!(n-m)!} = \frac{1}{n!} (1+z)^n$$

Progress is possible in 4d (and similar techniques apply in even d) ${}_{3}F_{2}(-m,-m-4+\Delta_{\phi};-2-m,-2-m;1) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(m+1-k)^{2}(m+2-k)^{2}}{(m+1)^{2}(m+2)^{2}} \frac{\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}-4+k]}{\Gamma[k+1]\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}-4]}$ Use basic defn $=\frac{4[6m^{2}+6m(\Delta_{\phi}-1)+\Delta_{\phi}(\Delta_{\phi}-1)]}{(m+1)(m+2)\Gamma[m+3]}\frac{\Gamma[m+\Delta_{\phi}-1]}{\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}+1]}$ To reduce to one sum $\gamma(n,\ell)\ell^2 = -(-1)^n \frac{80}{3c_T} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m [6m^2 + 6m(\Delta_{\phi} - 1) + \Delta_{\phi}(\Delta_{\phi} - 1)]$ $\times \frac{\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}]\Gamma[n+1]\Gamma[2\Delta_{\phi}+m+n-3]}{\Gamma[m+1]\Gamma[n-m+1]\Gamma[\Delta_{\phi}+m-1]\Gamma[2\Delta_{\phi}+n-3]}$ Using the following: $\Gamma[x]\Gamma[1-x] = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi x}$ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^m \frac{n!}{m!(n-m)!} = \frac{1}{n!} (1+z)^n$ $\Gamma[x] = \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt$

So effectively we just need to do the integral

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty dx dy \ b(n, x, y) e^{-(x+y)} x^a y^b$$

which can be easily done by going to polar coordinates.

So effectively we just need to do the integral

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty dx dy \ b(n, x, y) e^{-(x+y)} x^a y^b$$

which can be easily done by going to polar coordinates.

$$\gamma(n,\ell)\ell^{2} = -\frac{80}{3c_{T}} \left(6n^{4} + 12n^{3}(2\Delta_{\phi} - 3) + 6n^{2}(11 - 14\Delta_{\phi} + 5\Delta_{\phi}^{2}) + 6n(2\Delta_{\phi} - 3)(\Delta_{\phi}^{2} - 2\Delta_{\phi} + 2) + \Delta_{\phi}^{2}(\Delta_{\phi} - 1)^{2} \right)$$

So effectively we just need to do the integral

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty dx dy \ b(n, x, y) e^{-(x+y)} x^a y^b$$

which can be easily done by going to polar coordinates.

$$\gamma(n,\ell)\ell^2 = -\frac{80}{3c_T} \left(6n^4 + 12n^3(2\Delta_\phi - 3) + 6n^2(11 - 14\Delta_\phi + 5\Delta_\phi^2) + 6n(2\Delta_\phi - 3)(\Delta_\phi^2 - 2\Delta_\phi + 2) + \Delta_\phi^2(\Delta_\phi - 1)^2 \right)$$

This is negative and monotonically decreasing with n for any conformal dimension satisfying the unitarity bound





If unitarity bound is violated anomalous dimensions can be positive.

Comments on Nachtmann theorem

 Nachtmann in 1973 proved the following under certain plausible assumptions (unitarity, Regge behaviour of amplitudes)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \ell}\gamma(n=0,\ell)>0$$

- This means that the leading twist operator for $\ell^{-\#}$ should have negative anomalous dimension.
 - Our results extends this to non-zero twists.

Large spin gymnastics from holography-take I

Large spin gymnastics from holography-take I

 Cornalba et al showed through a series of papers that the anomalous dimension of double trace operators can be calculated in the high energy Eikonal approximation of 2-2 scattering in AdS spacetime.

Large spin gymnastics from holography-take I

- Cornalba et al showed through a series of papers that the anomalous dimension of double trace operators can be calculated in the high energy Eikonal approximation of 2-2 scattering in AdS spacetime.
- The calculation is difficult but the bottom line is that in the t-channel the amplitude for double trace exchange is related to the exponential of the propagator of the exchanged particle (eg. graviton) in the schannel.



Cornalba, Costa, Penedones

Ladder and crossed ladder diagrams can be resummed using Eikonal approximation.

Needs both large spin and twist

 In the other channel the amplitude is dominated by the composite state of two incoming particles dual to the double trace operators.

- In the other channel the amplitude is dominated by the composite state of two incoming particles dual to the double trace operators.
- The Eikonal approximation determines a phase shift due to the exchange of a particle.

- In the other channel the amplitude is dominated by the composite state of two incoming particles dual to the double trace operators.
- The Eikonal approximation determines a phase shift due to the exchange of a particle.
- This phase shift is related to the anomalous dimension.

4d CFT

4d CFT $\ell \gg n \gg 1$

4d CFT $\ell \gg n \gg 1$ $\gamma(n, \ell) = -\frac{1}{4N^2} \frac{(E-J)^4}{EJ} \approx -\frac{4}{N^2} \frac{n^4}{\ell^2} = -\frac{160}{c_T} \frac{n^4}{\ell^2}$

4d CFT $\ell \gg n \gg 1$ $\gamma(n, \ell) = -\frac{1}{4N^2} \frac{(E-J)^4}{EJ} \approx -\frac{4}{N^2} \frac{n^4}{\ell^2} = -\frac{160}{c_T} \frac{n^4}{\ell^2}$

This matches exactly with the CFT bootstrap calculation!

4d CFT $\ell \gg n \gg 1$ $\gamma(n, \ell) = -\frac{1}{4N^2} \frac{(E-J)^4}{EJ} \approx -\frac{4}{N^2} \frac{n^4}{\ell^2} = -\frac{160}{c_T} \frac{n^4}{\ell^2}$

This matches exactly with the CFT bootstrap calculation!

$$n \gg \ell \gg 1$$

4d CFT

$\ell \gg n \gg 1$

$$\gamma(n,\ell) = -\frac{1}{4N^2} \frac{(E-J)^4}{EJ} \approx -\frac{4}{N^2} \frac{n^4}{\ell^2} = -\frac{160}{c_T} \frac{n^4}{\ell^2}$$

This matches exactly with the CFT bootstrap calculation!

 $n \gg \ell \gg 1$

There is a prediction from AdS/CFT in this limit.
4d CFT

$\ell \gg n \gg 1$

$$\gamma(n,\ell) = -\frac{1}{4N^2} \frac{(E-J)^4}{EJ} \approx -\frac{4}{N^2} \frac{n^4}{\ell^2} = -\frac{160}{c_T} \frac{n^4}{\ell^2}$$

This matches exactly with the CFT bootstrap calculation!

 $n \gg \ell \gg 1$

There is a prediction from AdS/CFT in this limit.

$$\gamma(n,\ell)_{AdS/CFT} = -\frac{2}{N^2} \frac{n^3}{\ell}$$

n=4 normalizations



 It turns out that we can give a saddle point argument leading to an exact agreement with this limit as well.

- It turns out that we can give a saddle point argument leading to an exact agreement with this limit as well.
- However, if n is very large, then the perturbation theory will break down.

- It turns out that we can give a saddle point argument leading to an exact agreement with this limit as well.
- However, if n is very large, then the perturbation theory will break down.
- Thus implicitly our result from bootstrap is valid only if

- It turns out that we can give a saddle point argument leading to an exact agreement with this limit as well.
- However, if n is very large, then the perturbation theory will break down.
- Thus implicitly our result from bootstrap is valid only if

$$\frac{\ell}{n} > \frac{\ell_0}{n_{max}}$$

 In the AdS/CFT language the impact parameter is related by

$$ho\sim rac{\ell}{n}$$
 Cornalba, Costa, Penedones

• This means that there is a "mass gap" for this result to be valid.

• This is similar in spirit to the double expansion in α', g_s Camanho, Edelstein, Maldacena, Zhiboedov

- There is a closely related recent discussion by Alday, Bissi and Lukowski.
- They discussed N=4 SYM bootstrap. By assuming that the leading spectrum is the same as in SUGRA (AdS/CFT input) they found closed form expressions for the anomalous dimensions for $\Delta_{\phi} = 4$
- Our results are in exact agreement with their findings in the two limits.
- Our derivation suggests that the result should hold universally in any CFT (with the caveats).



However!!!

 At least to me the AdS/CFT Eikonal method obscures the reason why the result should be universal in holography.

However!!!

- At least to me the AdS/CFT Eikonal method obscures the reason why the result should be universal in holography.
- In other words how do we see that higher derivative corrections will not spoil the universality?

However!!!

- At least to me the AdS/CFT Eikonal method obscures the reason why the result should be universal in holography.
- In other words how do we see that higher derivative corrections will not spoil the universality?
- Namely why can't the overall factor depend on the 't Hooft coupling?

 Fitzpatrick, Kaplan and Walters suggested the following simple calculation.

- Fitzpatrick, Kaplan and Walters suggested the following simple calculation.
- The double trace operators can be thought of as two massive particles in AdS rotating around each other. The anomalous dimension arises due to the interacting energy of these particles.

- Fitzpatrick, Kaplan and Walters suggested the following simple calculation.
- The double trace operators can be thought of as two massive particles in AdS rotating around each other. The anomalous dimension arises due to the interacting energy of these particles.
- Essential idea is to do perturbation theory in inverse distance corresponding to a Newtonian approximation in AdS.





 So from the gravity side we ignore backreaction due to the "distant" scalar field.





- So from the gravity side we ignore backreaction due to the "distant" scalar field.
 - We assume that the mass of this orbiting scalar field is big (in units of AdS radius).





- So from the gravity side we ignore backreaction due to the "distant" scalar field.
- We assume that the mass of this orbiting scalar field is big (in units of AdS radius).
- We assume that the mass of the black hole which corresponds to the dimension of the 2nd scalar field is big.

- It has been shown that for n=0, the result of the calculation agrees with the bootstrap prediction. (Unlike Eikonal where both spin and n needed to be large)
- Non-zero n is quite hard. However, we have been able to make progress (barring overall constants) at large n, i.e., $\ell \gg n \gg 1$
- It turns out to give exactly the same universal behaviour predicted by bootstrap!

Non-renormalization from holography

Higher derivative correction

$$\delta E_{n,\ell_{orb}}^d = -\frac{\mu}{2} \int r(1 + \alpha'^h r^{-2h}) dr \left[\sum_{k,\alpha=0}^n \left(\frac{E_{n,\ell}^2}{(1+r^2)^2} \psi_k(r) \psi_\alpha(r) + \partial_r \psi_k(r) \partial_r \psi_\alpha(r) \right) \right] = \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2 \,,$$

Non-renormalization from holography

Higher derivative correction

$$\delta E_{n,\ell_{orb}}^d = -\frac{\mu}{2} \int r(1 + \alpha'^h r^{-2h}) dr \left[\sum_{k,\alpha=0}^n \left(\frac{E_{n,\ell}^2}{(1+r^2)^2} \psi_k(r) \psi_\alpha(r) + \partial_r \psi_k(r) \partial_r \psi_\alpha(r) \right) \right] = \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2 \,,$$

$$\begin{split} \delta E_{n,\ell_{orb}}^4 &= -\frac{\mu(\ell+2n)^2\Gamma(\ell+2+n)}{4\Gamma(\ell+2)\Gamma(n+\Delta-1)}\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \frac{\Gamma(k+\ell+n+\Delta)}{\Gamma(\ell+2+k)\Gamma(n+1-k)\Gamma(2+k+\ell+\Delta)\Gamma(k+1)} \\ &\times \left[\Gamma(1+\ell+k)\Gamma(1+\Delta)_3F_2\bigg(-n,k+\ell+1,\ell+n+\Delta;\ell+2,2+k+\ell+\Delta;1\bigg) \\ &+ \alpha'^h\Gamma(1+\ell+k-h)\Gamma(1+\Delta+h)_3F_2\bigg(-n,k+\ell+1-h,\ell+n+\Delta;\ell+2,2+k+\ell+\Delta;1\bigg)\bigg] \end{split}$$

The spin dependence for the Einstein term can be shown to be $\frac{1}{\ell^2}$ while the higher derivative term gives $\ell^2 \frac{1}{\ell^{2h+2}}$. Thus no 't Hooft coupling dependence!

Prediction for susy bootstrap: N=4 't Hooft coupling shows up at ℓ^{-8}

Saturday, 21 March 15

- It will be interesting to work out what happens in the other limit. $n \gg \ell \gg 1$
- Here we expect higher order corrections to play a role.
- It will be interesting to derive constraints on the higher derivative couplings by demanding that the anomalous dimension is negative.
- Do we need an infinite tower of higher spin massive particles for a consistent theory of quantum gravity?

Comments on OPE coefficients



Comments on general dimensions

Assume minimal twist for stress tensor exchange d-2

$$\ell \gg n \gg 1$$

$$\gamma(n,\ell)\ell^{d-2} = -P_m \frac{\Gamma[d+1]\Gamma[d+2]}{2\Gamma[1+\frac{d}{2}]\Delta_{\phi}^2} n^d$$

With some effort this can be derived analytically in all d. In terms of c_T :

$$\gamma(n,\ell) = -\frac{d^2}{2(d-1)^2 c_T} \frac{\Gamma[d+1]\Gamma[d+2]}{\Gamma[1+\frac{d}{2}]^4} \frac{n^d}{\ell^{d-2}}$$

For this to match with the AdS Eikonal calculation, we need

$$P_m = \frac{16G_N}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(d-1)\Gamma(1+\frac{d}{2})^3}{\Gamma(d+1)\Gamma(d+2)} \Delta_{\phi}^2$$

Exactly expected from AdS/CFT





Plots in diverse spacetime dimensions for various conformal dimensions. Asymptotes indicate same intercept independent of conformal dimension.

• The universality at large spin and twist is intriguing. Our proof says that any holographic dual is guaranteed to yield this agreement. [Note that the conformal dimension independence only works for a stress tensor exchange in the s-channel.]

- The universality at large spin and twist is intriguing. Our proof says that any holographic dual is guaranteed to yield this agreement. [Note that the conformal dimension independence only works for a stress tensor exchange in the s-channel.]
- Probably also suggests that one can "geometrize" the bootstrap equations in this limit in a "universal"
 Way You don't know what that means? Noither do II lokes apart this is tautological, of course we know it will

Way. You don't know what that means? Neither do I! Jokes apart, this is tautological--of course we know it will work, the question is how to interpret it in terms of geometric objects.

- The universality at large spin and twist is intriguing. Our proof says that any holographic dual is guaranteed to yield this agreement. [Note that the conformal dimension independence only works for a stress tensor exchange in the s-channel.]
- Probably also suggests that one can "geometrize" the bootstrap equations in this limit in a "universal"

Way. You don't know what that means? Neither do I! Jokes apart, this is tautological--of course we know it will work, the question is how to interpret it in terms of geometric objects.

• Will be very interesting to explore this further.

Thank you for listening