## Large spin systematics in CFT

Luis Fernando Alday

University of Oxford

### Holography, Strings and Higher Spins at Swansea with A. Bissi and T. Lukowski

### The problem

In this talk we will consider operators with higher spin:

$$Tr\varphi\partial_{\mu_1}\cdots\partial_{\mu_\ell}\varphi, \qquad Tr\varphi\varphi\partial_{\mu_1}\cdots\partial_{\mu_\ell}Tr\varphi\varphi$$

And study their dimension  $\Delta$  for large values of the spin  $\ell.$ 

### The motivation

- They form the leading twist sector of many theories.
- Important role in QCD analysis of deep inelastic scattering.
- Related to Wilson loops with cusps and UV divergences of scattering amplitudes.
- Fundamental role in applying integrability to AdS/CFT.

### The method

- The method relies only on symmetries and basic properties of CFT's (conformal symmetry, analiticity, unitarity, structure of the OPE,...)
- The results will hold for a vast family of theories!
- Similar in spirit to the conformal bootstrap program.

### Leading twist operators

Generic four dimensional gauge theory:

Leading twist operators built from scalars and derivatives

$$\mathcal{O}_{\ell} = Tr\varphi \partial_{\mu_1} \cdots \partial_{\mu_{\ell}} \varphi + \cdots$$

• At large values of the spin, they acquire a logarithmic anomalous dimension:

$$\Delta_{\ell} - \ell = f(g) \log \ell + \cdots$$

- This behavior is valid to all orders in perturbation theory!
- f(g) appears in many computations!

What can we say about sub-leading corrections?

$$\Delta_{\ell} - \ell = f(g) \log \ell + f^{(0)}(g) + \frac{f^{(1)}(g, \log \ell)}{\ell} + \frac{f^{(2)}(g, \log \ell)}{\ell^2} + \cdots$$

### Reciprocity principle

 $\bullet~\mbox{Odd}$  powers of  $1/\ell$  are fixed in terms of the even ones!

$$\Delta_{\ell} - \ell - 2 = \gamma_{\ell} \quad \rightarrow \quad \gamma_{\ell} \equiv f(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\ell})$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$f(\ell) = a_0(\log J_0) + \frac{a_2(\log J_0)}{J_0^2} + \frac{a_4(\log J_0)}{J_0^4} + \cdots$$

where  $J_0^2 = \ell(\ell + 1)$ .

- First observed in QCD [Moch, Vermaseren, Mogt, Gribov, Lipatov, Drell, Levy, Yan, Dokshitzer, Marchesini, Salam...]
- Then checked for other theories, including MSYM [Basso, Korchemski, Beccaria, Forini, Tirziu, Tseytlin, ...]
- Led to great activity but a proof was still missing!

Equivalent formulation

Define the full Casimir:

$$J^{2} = (\ell + \gamma_{\ell}/2)(\ell + \gamma_{\ell}/2 + 1)$$

Reciprocity principle

$$\gamma_{\ell} = \alpha_0(\log J) + \frac{0}{J} + \frac{\alpha_2(\log J)}{J^2} + \frac{0}{J^3} + \frac{\alpha_4(\log J)}{J^4} + \cdots$$

Let us prove this for a generic four dimensional CFT!

< ∃ >

# CFT - Symmetries

### Conformal algebra:

- Scale transformations  $\rightarrow$  dilatation D
- Poincare Algebra:  $P_{\mu}$  and  $M_{\mu\nu}$
- Special conformal transformations:  $K_{\mu}$

$$[D, P_{\mu}] = P_{\mu}, \quad [D, K_{\mu}] = -K_{\mu}, \quad [K_{\mu}, P_{\nu}] = \eta_{\mu\nu}D - iM_{\mu\nu}$$

We may also have flavor symmetries.

### Main ingredient:

• Local conformal primary operators:  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}(x)$ ,  $[K_{\mu}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}] = 0$ Dimension Lorentz spin

In addition we have descendants  $P_{\mu_k}...P_{\mu_i}\mathcal{O}_{\Delta,\ell}$ .

### Operators form an algebra (OPE)

$$\mathcal{O}_i(x)\mathcal{O}_j(0) = \sum_k C_{ijk} |x|^{\Delta_k - \Delta_i - \Delta_j} \mathcal{O}_k(0)$$

• The set  $\Delta_i$  and  $C_{ijk}$  (for all operators) characterizes the CFT and is called the *CFT data*.

/□ ▶ < 글 ▶ < 글

## CFT - Observables

#### Main observable:

Correlation functions of local operators

 $\langle \mathcal{O}_1(x_1)...\mathcal{O}_n(x_n) \rangle$ 

Conformal symmetry + OPE:

• CFT data  $\rightarrow$  all correlation functions!

### 2 and 3pt-function

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_i(x_1)\mathcal{O}_j(x_2)\rangle = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{|x_{12}|^{2\Delta_i}} \langle \mathcal{O}_i(x_1)\mathcal{O}_j(x_2)\mathcal{O}_k(x_3)\rangle = \frac{C_{ijk}}{|x_{12}|^{\Delta_{ij}-\Delta_k}|x_{13}|^{\Delta_{ik}-\Delta_j}|x_{23}|^{\Delta_{jk}-\Delta_i}}$$

## **OPE** factorization

 $\phi:$  Scalar operator of dimension d on a generic CFT,  $e.g \; Tr \varphi^2.$ 

Conformal symmetry

$$\langle \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\phi(x_3)\phi(x_4)\rangle = \frac{\mathcal{G}(u,v)}{x_{12}^{2d}x_{34}^{2d}}, \qquad u = \frac{x_{12}^2x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2x_{24}^2}, \ v = \frac{x_{14}^2x_{23}^2}{x_{13}^2x_{24}^2},$$

Crossing relation: 
$$v^d \mathcal{G}(u, v) = u^d \mathcal{G}(v, u)$$

OPE

$$\phi(x_1)\phi(x_2) = x^{-2d} \left( 1 + \sum_k C_{\phi\phi k} |x_{12}|^{\Delta_k - \Delta_{ij}} \mathcal{O}_k(x_1) \right)$$
Identity operator

Conformal primaries  $[K_{\mu}, \mathcal{O}] = 0$ 
Descendants  $P_{\mu_1} \dots P_{\mu_n} \mathcal{O}_{\mu_n}$ 

## **OPE** factorization

 $\mathsf{OPE} \to 4\text{-pt function factorizes!}$ 



• The conformal blocks are explicitly known.

Conformal blocks properties

• Small *u* behavior:

$$G_{\Delta,\ell}(u,v) \sim u^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta-\ell)} k_{\Delta,\ell}(1-v)$$
$$k_{\Delta,\ell}(1-v) = (1-v)^{\ell} {}_{2}F_{1}(\frac{1}{2}(\Delta+\ell), \frac{1}{2}(\Delta+\ell), \Delta+\ell; 1-v)$$

The power of u is controlled by the twist  $\tau = \Delta - \ell$ .

 $\bullet\,$  There exist a second order operator  ${\cal D}$  such that

$$\mathcal{D}\left(u^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta-\ell)}k_{\Delta,\ell}(v)\right) = J^2 u^{\frac{1}{2}(\Delta-\ell)}k_{\Delta,\ell}(v)$$

with  $J^2 = \frac{1}{4}(\Delta + \ell - 2)(\Delta + \ell).$ 

A = 
 A = 
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

## Leading twist contribution

• We can focus in the contribution of leading twist operators by considering the small *u* limit:

$$\mathcal{G}(u,v) = 1 + u^{\tau_{lead}/2}h(v) + \dots$$

• In perturbative CFT they are given by the tower of operators mentioned above!

$$\tau_{\ell} = \Delta_{\ell} - \ell = 2 + \gamma_{\ell}$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\mathcal{G}(u, v) = 1 + u h(\log u, v) + \dots$$

$$\sum_{\ell} a_{\ell} u^{1+\gamma_{\ell}/2} k_{\ell+2+\gamma_{\ell},\ell}(v) = u h(\log u, v)$$

э

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

### Tree level

First consider the problem at tree level:  $\gamma_\ell=0$ 

$$\sum_{\ell=0,2,\dots} a_{\ell}^{(0)} u k_{\ell+2,\ell} (1-v) = u \left(\frac{1}{v} + 1\right)$$

• We can solve:

$$a_{\ell}^{(0)} = 2 \frac{\Gamma(\ell+1)^2}{\Gamma(2\ell+1)}$$

- Each term in the sum diverges logarithmically as  $v \to 0$ .
- We need an infinite number of terms to reproduce the divergence on the right hand side!
- The divergence comes from the region  $\ell \gg 1$ .
- Large  $\ell$  behavior of  $a_{\ell}^{(0)}$  is fixed by the divergence of the r.h.s.

## Loop level

Let us turn on the coupling!

$$\tau_{\ell} = 2 + \gamma_{\ell}(g), \quad a_{\ell} = a_{\ell}^{(0)} \hat{a}_{\ell}(g)$$

$$\mathcal{G}(u,v) = \mathcal{G}^{(0)}(u,v) + g\mathcal{G}^{(1)}(u,v) + \dots$$

To any order in perturbation theory:

$$\frac{1}{v} + 1 \to \frac{1}{v} h^{(0)}(\log u, \log v) + h^{(1)}(\log u, \log v) + v h^{(2)}(\log u, \log v) + \cdots$$

• Where only integer powers of v can appear due to analiticity [Dolan-Osborn]

$$\sum_{\ell} a_{\ell} u^{\gamma_{\ell}/2} k_{\ell+2+\gamma_{\ell},\ell}(v) = \frac{1}{v} h^{(0)}(\log u, \log v) + h^{(1)}(\log u, \log v) + \cdots$$

### Method

Consider

$$\sum_{\ell} a_{\ell} u^{\gamma_{\ell}/2} k_{\ell+2+\gamma_{\ell},\ell}(v) \quad (*)$$

• Assume a general large  $\ell$  behavior:

$$\gamma_{\ell} = p_0(\log J) + \frac{p_1(\log J)}{J} + \frac{p_2(\log J)}{J^2} + \cdots$$
$$\hat{a}_{\ell} = q_0(\log J) + \frac{q_1(\log J)}{J} + \frac{q_2(\log J)}{J^2} + \cdots$$

where  $J^2 = (\ell + \gamma_{\ell}/2)(\ell + \gamma_{\ell}/2 + 1).$ 

- Compute the divergent terms in (\*) as  $v \to 0$ .
- Since they come from the large ℓ region, they should be controlled by the above expansion!

#### Method to compute the divergent contributions [L.F.A, Maldacena,

Fitzpatrick et. al., Komargodski at. al.]

• Focus in the small  $v/\text{large } \ell$  region:

$$v = \epsilon, \quad \ell = \frac{x}{\epsilon^{1/2}}, \quad \sum_{\ell} \to \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty dx$$

• Perform a change of variables  $x \to j$ :

$$\frac{j^2}{\epsilon} = (\frac{x}{\epsilon^{1/2}} + \gamma_\ell)(\frac{x}{\epsilon^{1/2}} + \gamma_\ell + 1)$$

• Use integral representation for the hypergeometric function and use saddle point.

< ≣ > <

## Method

$$(*) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} 4 \int_0^\infty q_0 u^{p_0/2} j K_0(2j) dj + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{1/2}} \int_0^\infty u^{p_0/2} (q_0 p_1 \log u + 2q_1 - q_0 p'_0) K_0(2j) dj + \text{finite}$$

Claim: all divergent terms (not only the leading one) are captured!

But 
$$(*) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} h^{(0)}(\log u, \log \epsilon) + h^{(1)}(\log u, \log \epsilon) + \cdots$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} u^{p_0/2} (q_0 p_1 \log u + 2q_1 - q_0 p_0') K_0(2j) dj = 0$$

э

• At any order in perturbation theory  $p_i, q_i$  are polynomials in  $\log j^2/\epsilon.$ 

$$\int_0^\infty P(\log j^2/\epsilon) K_0(2j) dj = 0 \to P(\log j^2/\epsilon) = 0$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$p_1 = 0, \quad q_1 = \frac{1}{2} q_0 p'_0$$

- Acting with D multiplies the integrand by  $j^2/\epsilon$  and increases the degree of divergence by one (preserving analiticity).
- We obtain a constraints, involving the higher order terms in the expansions!

### Results

#### Results

- $\gamma(\ell)$  expanded for large  $\ell$  contains only even powers of 1/J!•  $\frac{\hat{a}(\ell)}{2+\gamma'(\ell)}$  expanded for large  $\ell$  contains only even powers of 1/J!
  - The results extend to arbitrary dimensions and non-scalar operators!

Example:  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM

$$\gamma(\ell) = 2h_1(\ell)\lambda + \dots$$
$$\hat{a}(\ell) = -h_2(\ell)\lambda + \dots$$

• Both statements can be explicitly checked up to three loops!

#### Non-perturbative results

• Given  $\mathcal{O}$  of dimension  $\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}$  there are double trace operators  $\mathcal{O}\partial^{\ell}\mathcal{O}$  of dimension [L.F.A., Maldacena, Fitzpatrick et. al; Komargodski et.al.]

$$\Delta_{\ell} - \ell = 2\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} + \gamma_{\ell}, \quad \gamma_{\ell} = -\frac{c}{\ell^{\tau_{\min}}} + \cdots$$

 $\tau_{min}$ : Twist of the minimal twist operator in  $\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}$ .

• Our method applies also to this case!

$$\gamma_{\ell} = -\frac{c}{J^{\tau_{\min}}} \left( 1 + \frac{p_2}{J^2} + \ldots \right)$$

• Extensively checked for the critical O(N) model and theories with holographic dual.

### Non-conformal theories

- We can consider a theory with non-vanishing beta function.
- $\bullet\,$  The anomalous dimensions will depend on the scheme. Using DREG with  $D=4-2\epsilon$

$$\beta_{\epsilon}(g) = -2\epsilon + \beta(g)$$

- The beta function vanishes at  $\epsilon_{cr} = \beta(g)/2$ .
- "Even" expansion in terms of the corrected Casimir

$$J_{\beta}^{2} = (\ell + \gamma_{\ell}/2 - \beta/2)(\ell + \gamma_{\ell}/2 - \beta/2 + 1)$$

• We have checked this is the case for QCD and  $\mathcal{N}=0,1,2$  SYM theories!

## Conclusions

- We have derived an infinite number of constraints for the large spin expansion of the anomalous dimension and structure constants of higher spin operators.
- The derivation relied solely in CFT arguments and symmetries, and applies to a large class of theories.
- Reciprocity was assumed to make progress in the computation of the dimension of twist-two operators in MSYM. Can use this to make progress in the computation of structure constants?
- Unexplored prediction for OPE coefficients, can we check it for QCD?
- It would be interesting to use the full power of crossing-symmetry.