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- Type II and M-theory flux backgrounds play a central role in
- compactifications
- gauge/gravity duality
- A lot of effort has been devoted to the study of such backgrounds
- compute the back-reaction of the fluxes to determine the full geometry
- understand the properties of the new spaces
- Considerable progress has been made using techniques of
- G-structures
- Generalised Complex Geometry (GCG)
- Exceptional Generalised Geometry (EGG)
- Major progress has been achieved for SUSY backgrounds with

$$
M_{10}=M_{4} \times_{w} M_{6} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathrm{~d} s_{(10)}^{2}=e^{2 A(y)} \mathrm{d} s_{(4)}^{2}+\mathrm{d} s_{(6)}^{2}(y)
$$

describing

- compactifications to four dimensions

$$
M_{4}=\left\{\text { Minkowski }_{4}, \mathrm{dS}_{4} ?\right\}
$$

- $\mathrm{CFT}_{3}$ and deformations ( $\mathrm{AdS}_{4}$ )
- $\mathrm{CFT}_{4}$ and deformations

$$
\mathrm{d} s_{A d S_{5}}^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{d} r^{2}}{r^{2}}+r^{2} \mathrm{~d} s_{4}^{2} \quad \rightarrow \quad e^{-2 A} \mathrm{~d} r^{2}+e^{2 A} \mathrm{~d} s_{4}^{2}
$$

- Two main reasons
- under quite general conditions [uss, tsimpis 04]
10 dimensional

equations of motions $\Leftrightarrow \quad$| SUSY variations and |
| :---: |
| Bianchi id. for the fluxes |

- use Generalised Complex Geometry [hitchin, gualieier, gana, minasian, m.p., tomasiello o5]

10 dimensional SUSY $\Leftrightarrow$ differential constraints on variations 6d forms

- Susy vacua and Generalised Complex Geometry
- decompose the $10 d$ type II SUSY parameters

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon_{1}=\zeta_{+} \otimes \eta_{+}^{1}+\zeta_{-} \otimes \eta_{-}^{1} \quad \rightarrow \quad\left(\eta_{+}^{i}\right)^{*}=\eta_{-}^{i} \quad 6 d \text { Weyl spinors } \\
& \epsilon_{2}=\zeta_{+} \otimes \eta_{\mp}^{2}+\zeta_{-} \otimes \eta_{ \pm}^{2} \quad \zeta_{+}^{*}=\zeta_{-} \quad 4 d \text { Weyl spinors }
\end{aligned}
$$

- build polyforms on $M_{6}$ as bispinors

$$
\Phi_{ \pm}=\eta_{+}^{1} \otimes \bar{\eta}_{ \pm}^{2}=\frac{1}{8} \sum_{p} \frac{1}{p!}\left(\bar{\eta}_{ \pm}^{2} \gamma_{m_{1} \ldots m_{p}} \eta_{+}^{1}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{m_{p} \ldots m_{1}}
$$

- SUGRA equations of motions are equivalent to

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { 10d SUSY variations } & + \\
\text { Bianchi identities } \\
\mathrm{d}\left(e^{3 A} \Phi_{1}\right)=0 & (\mathrm{~d}-H) F=\delta(\text { source }) \\
\mathrm{d}\left(e^{2 A} \operatorname{Im} \Phi_{2}\right)=0 & \mathrm{~d} H=0 \\
\mathrm{~d}\left(e^{4 A} \operatorname{Re}_{2}\right)=e^{4 A} e^{-B} * \lambda(F) &
\end{array}
$$

- unified description of type IIA and IIB
- Consequences
- Geometric characterisation of $\mathcal{N}=1$ flux vacua
- $\Phi_{ \pm}$are spinors on $T\left(M_{6}\right) \oplus T^{*}\left(M_{6}\right)$

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { positive chirality } & \leftrightarrow & \Phi_{+} \in \Lambda^{\text {even }} T^{*}(M) \text { even forms } \\
\text { negative chirality } & \leftrightarrow & \Phi_{-} \in \Lambda^{\text {odd }} T^{*}(M) \text { odd forms }
\end{array}
$$

- pure spinors $\rightarrow$ vacuum of $\operatorname{Cliff}(6,6)$
- define a $\operatorname{SU}(3) \times \operatorname{SU}(3)$ structure on $T \oplus T^{*}$

| $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ on $T^{*}$ | $\eta_{+}^{1}=a \eta_{+}$ | $\Phi_{+}=\frac{a}{8}\left(\bar{c}_{1} e^{-i j}-i \overline{c_{2}} \omega\right) \wedge e^{z \wedge \bar{z} / 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\eta_{+}^{2}=c_{1} \eta_{+}+c_{2} z \cdot \eta_{-}$ | $\Phi_{-}=-i \frac{a}{8}\left(\bar{c}_{2} e^{-i j}+i \overline{c_{1}} \omega\right) \wedge z$ |
| $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ on $T^{*}$ | $\eta_{+}^{1}=a \eta_{+}$ | $\Phi_{+}=\frac{a \bar{b}}{8} e^{-i J}$ |
|  | $\eta_{+}^{2}=b \eta_{+}$ | $\Phi_{-}=-i \frac{a b}{8} \Omega$ |

- Differential conditions
- one spinor is closed

$$
\mathrm{d}\left(e^{3 A} \Phi_{1}\right)=0 \rightarrow \text { generalised Calabi Yau }
$$

- the RR fields act as torsion

$$
\mathrm{d}\left(e^{3 A} \Phi_{2}\right)=e^{3 A} \mathrm{~d} A \wedge \bar{\Phi}_{2}+\frac{i}{8} e^{3 A} * \lambda(F)
$$

|  | zero fluxes | fluxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $T$ | $T \oplus T^{*}$ |
| pure spinor | $\eta_{0}$ | $\Phi$ |
| integrability | $\nabla_{m} \eta_{0}=0$ | $\mathrm{~d} \Phi=0$ |
|  | Calabi Yau | Generalised Calabi Yau |

- Effective actions on flux backgrounds [grana, louis, waldram 05,06; martuci, koerber 07, 08, ...]
- Moduli counting ${ }_{\text {[tomasiello } 0} 07$, martucci 09$]$
- Explicit solutions
- examples of compactifications on GCY manifolds [grana, minasian, m.p. tomasiello 06]
- solutions in gauge/gravity duality
- baryonic branch of Klebanov-Strassler
[grana, minasian, m.p. zaffaroni 06]
- massive deformations of type IIA AdS 4 duals of $\mathrm{CFT}_{3}$
[m.p, zaffaroni 09, lüst, tsimpis 09]
- geometry of superconformal $\mathcal{N}=1$ theories
[minasian, m.p, zaffaroni 06;
gabella, gauntlett, palti, sparks, waldram 09, ... ]
- Can we apply similar techniques beyond $4 d$ vacua?
- product spaces $M_{d} \times M_{10-d}$
- black hole solutions
- non-relativistic geometries
- non trivial fibrations
- Case by case examples

- necessary conditions for $\mathcal{N}=1$ flux backgrounds in $d=1$ and even $d$
- 10d Generalised Geometry
- generalised connection [coimba, strickand.constable, waldram 11]
- pure spinor [tomasiello11]
- In this talk
- description of the $10 d$ pure spinor approach
- application of the formalism to Lifshitz solutions and D1-D5-P microstates


## GENERALISED GEOMETRY AND SUSY $\operatorname{IN} \mathrm{d}=10_{\text {Iomasislo 11] }}$

Same logic as in six-dimensions

- build a polyform out of SUSY parameters

$$
\Phi=\epsilon_{1} \bar{\epsilon}_{2}
$$

- rewrite the SUSY variations as differential eqn on $\Phi$
$\rightarrow e^{-B} \Phi$ must contain the same information as metric and B -field
$\rightarrow$ check that this set is equivalent to the SUSY variations


## NULL VECTORS

- The SUSY parameters define a pair of null vectors

$$
K_{(i) M}=\frac{1}{32} \bar{\epsilon}_{i} \Gamma_{M} \epsilon_{i} \quad K_{(i)}^{M} K_{(i) M}=0 \quad i=1,2
$$

- A null vector defines a natural splitting in $2+8$ directions

$$
\left(K_{i}=e_{-i}, e_{+i}, e_{I}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
e_{ \pm i} \cdot e_{ \pm i}=0 \\
e_{ \pm i} \cdot e_{I}=0 \\
e_{-i} \cdot e_{+i}=1 / 2
\end{array}\right.
$$

and the metric

$$
\mathrm{d} s_{10}^{2}=2 e_{i}^{+} e_{i}^{-}+\sum_{I=1}^{8}\left(e^{I}\right)^{2}
$$

- Define also

$$
K=\frac{1}{2}\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right) \quad \tilde{K}=\frac{1}{2}\left(K_{1}-K_{2}\right)
$$

## THE POLYFORM

Tensor the 10-d SUSY parameters

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi & =\epsilon_{1} \otimes \bar{\epsilon}_{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{32} \sum_{k} \frac{1}{k!} \bar{\epsilon}_{2} \Gamma_{M_{k} \ldots M_{1}} \epsilon_{1} \Gamma^{M_{1} \ldots M_{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Spinor on $T\left(M_{10}\right) \oplus T^{*}\left(M_{10}\right)$ but not pure
- by construction

$$
K_{i} \epsilon_{i}=K_{(i) M} \Gamma^{M} \epsilon_{i}=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \vec{\Gamma}_{-i} \epsilon_{i}=0
$$

- annihilator of $\Phi$

$$
\operatorname{Ann}(\Phi)=\operatorname{span}\left\{\vec{\Gamma}_{-1}, \overleftarrow{\Gamma}_{-2}\right\} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \vec{\Gamma}_{M}=\mathrm{d} x^{M}+\iota_{M} \\
& \overleftarrow{\Gamma}_{M}=(-)^{\operatorname{deg}}\left(\mathrm{d} x^{M}-\iota_{M}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- The form of $\Phi$ depends on the relation between the null vectors $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$
- $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ proportional

$$
K_{1} \sim K_{2} \sim \vec{\Gamma}_{-}=\left(i \sigma_{2}+\sigma_{1}\right) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{8} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \epsilon_{i}=\binom{1}{0} \otimes \hat{\eta}_{i}
$$

- $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ not proportional

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{1} & \sim \Gamma_{-}=\left(i \sigma_{2}+\sigma_{1}\right) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{8} \\
K_{2} & \sim \Gamma_{+}=\left(i \sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{8}
\end{aligned} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \epsilon_{1}=\binom{1}{0} \otimes \hat{\eta}_{1} \quad \epsilon_{2}=\binom{0}{1} \otimes \hat{\eta}_{2}
$$

|  | IIA | IIB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $K_{1} \sim K_{2}$ | $\hat{\eta}^{i}$ opposite chirality | $\hat{\eta}^{i}$ same chirality |
|  | $\Phi=K \wedge \phi_{G_{2}}$ | $\hat{\eta}^{1} \sim \hat{\eta}^{2} \rightarrow \Phi=K \wedge \phi_{S U(4)}$ |
|  | $\hat{\eta}^{1} \perp \hat{\eta}^{2} \rightarrow \Phi=K \wedge \phi_{\operatorname{Spin}(7)}$ |  |
| $K_{1} \perp K_{2}$ | $\hat{\eta}^{i}$ same chirality | $\hat{\eta}^{i}$ opposite chirality |
|  | $\hat{\eta}^{1} \sim \hat{\eta}^{2} \rightarrow \Phi=e^{-1 / 2 K \wedge K} \phi_{\operatorname{SPin(7)}}$ | $\Phi=e^{-1 / 2 K \wedge \tilde{K}} \phi_{G_{2}}$ |

## SUSY CONDITIONS

- SUSY variations are equivalent to a set of equations on $\left(\Phi, e_{+1}, e_{+2}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}_{H}\left(e^{-\phi} \Phi\right)=-\left(\tilde{K} \wedge+\iota_{K}\right) F \\
& \mathrm{~d} \tilde{K}=\iota_{K} H \\
& \left(e_{+1} \cdot \Phi \cdot e_{+2}, \Gamma^{M N}\left[ \pm \mathrm{d}_{H}\left(e^{-\phi} \Phi \cdot e_{+2}\right)+e^{\phi} \mathrm{d}^{\dagger}\left(e^{-2 \phi} e_{+2}\right) \Phi-F\right]\right) \\
& \left(e_{+1} \cdot \Phi \cdot e_{+2},\left[\mathrm{~d}_{H}\left(e^{-\phi} e_{+1} \cdot \Phi\right)-e^{\phi} \mathrm{d}^{\dagger}\left(e^{-2 \phi} e_{+2}\right) \Phi-F\right] \Gamma^{M N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

plus $L_{K} g=0$ and

$$
F=\sum_{k=0}^{5} F_{(2 k)} \quad F=*_{10} \lambda(F) \quad k \text { integer in IIA } \quad k \text { half-integer in IIB }
$$

- extra equations ( $\Phi$ is not pure)
- the vector $K$ is a symmetry of the full solution


## LIFSHITZ SOLUTIONS IN TYPE II SUGRA

- Motivation from AdS/CMT
- study systems (ex strongly correlated electrons) that have critical points with an anisotropic rescaling

$$
t \rightarrow \lambda^{z} t \quad x^{i} \rightarrow \lambda x^{i} \quad i=1 \ldots D
$$

- according to the holographic dictionary such behaviour is described by a Lifshitz geometry

$$
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=-r^{2 z} \mathrm{~d} t^{2}+r^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{D}\left(\mathrm{~d} x^{i}\right)^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{d} r^{2}}{r^{2}}
$$

$r \rightarrow$ holographic energy direction

- Lifshitz solution in string theory
- $4 d$ models of gravity coupled to a topological term or a massive vector
[kachru, liu, mulligan 08; taylor 08, ...]
- $10 d$ solutions : reductions of deformations of AdS solutions $\underset{\substack{\text { [balasubramanian, narayan } 10 \text {; } \\ \text { donos, gauntetet } 10, \ldots,}}{ }$
- consistent truncations of $d=10$ and $d=11$ SUGRA with massive vectors
[cassani, faedo 11]
- solutions of $\mathcal{N}=2$ SUGRA in $4 d$


## SUSY LIFSHITZ SOLUTIONS IN IIA THEORY

Look for solutions dual to $3 d$ theories with anisotropic scaling in $t$ and $(x, y)$

- metric

$$
\mathrm{d} s_{10}^{2}=-e^{2 A_{1}} \mathrm{~d} t^{2}+e^{2 A_{2}}\left(\mathrm{~d} x^{2}+\mathrm{d} y^{2}\right)+\left(e^{1}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{d} s_{6}^{2} \quad q e^{1}=\mathrm{d} \phi+\mu
$$

- rotation invariance in $(x, y)$
- fluxes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{I I A}=h+\mathrm{d}\left(e^{01}\right) \\
& F^{I I A}=-q\left(e^{1} f+e^{0 x y} * \lambda(f)\right)+\left(1+e^{01}\right)\left(w+e^{x y} * \lambda(w)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
f=f_{1}+f_{3}+f_{5} \quad w=w_{0}+w_{2}+w_{4}+w_{6}
$$

## SUSY VARIATIONS

- SUSY selects two directions

$$
K_{1} \cdot \epsilon_{1}=K_{2} \cdot \epsilon_{2}=0
$$

- natural choice

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
K \sim e^{0}=e^{A_{1}} \mathrm{~d} t & \rightarrow & \text { Killing vector (static solutions) } \\
\tilde{K} \sim e^{1}=1 / q(\mathrm{~d} \varphi+\mu) & & (\mathrm{d} \mu=\alpha)
\end{array}
$$

- SUSY conditions

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left(\Gamma^{0}+\Gamma^{1}\right) \epsilon_{1}=0 \\
\left(\Gamma^{0}-\Gamma^{1}\right) \epsilon_{2}=0
\end{array}\right\} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \epsilon_{1}=\binom{1}{0} \hat{\eta}_{1} \quad \epsilon_{2}=\binom{0}{1} \hat{\eta}_{2}
$$

with $\hat{\eta}_{1,2}$ positive chiratlity 8-d spinors

- To construct the spinor $\Phi$

$$
\Phi=\epsilon_{1} \bar{\epsilon}_{2}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(1+e^{01}\right) \Phi_{(8)}
$$

- further split the spinors

$$
\hat{\eta}_{i}=\frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\left\|\eta_{+}\right\|} e^{A_{1} / 2}\left[\binom{1}{i} \eta_{+}^{i}+\binom{1}{-i} \eta_{-}^{i}\right] \quad i=1,2
$$

- define the 6 d pure spinors

$$
\Phi_{ \pm}=\eta_{+}^{1} \eta_{ \pm}^{2 \dagger}
$$

- so that

$$
\Phi_{(8)}=\frac{16}{\left\|\eta_{+}\right\|^{2}} e^{A_{1}}\left\{\operatorname{Im}\left[\left(1+i e^{x y}\right) \Phi_{+}\right]-\operatorname{Re}\left[\left(e^{x}-i e^{y}\right) \Phi_{-}\right]\right\}
$$

- The SUSY conditions and BI reduce to two independent sets of conditions on 6d forms
- for $f, h$ and $\Phi_{ \pm}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}_{h}\left(q e^{A_{1}-\phi} \frac{1}{\left\|\eta_{+}\right\|^{2}} \operatorname{Im} \Phi_{+}\right)=0 \\
& \mathrm{~d}_{h}\left(q e^{A_{1}+2 A_{2}-\phi} \frac{1}{\left\|\eta_{+}\right\|^{2}} \operatorname{Re} \Phi_{+}\right)=\frac{q}{8} e^{A_{1}+2 A_{2}} * \lambda(f) \\
& \mathrm{d}_{h}\left(q e^{A_{1}+A_{2}-\phi} \frac{1}{\left\|\eta_{+}\right\|^{2}} \Phi_{-}\right)=0 \\
& \quad \mathrm{~d}_{h} f=\mathrm{d} h=0 \\
& \quad \mathrm{~d}_{h}\left(q e^{A_{1}+2 A_{2}} * \lambda(f)\right)=0 \\
& \quad \mathrm{~d}\left(q e^{A_{1}+2 A_{2}-2 \phi} * h\right)=\left.q e^{A_{1}+2 A_{2}} f * f\right|_{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

- for the forms $\alpha$ and $w$
- plus a differential equation for $q$

$$
* \mathrm{~d}\left(q e^{2 A_{2}+A_{1}-2 \phi} * \mathrm{~d}\left(q e^{-A_{1}}\right)\right)=e^{2 A_{2}}\left(e^{-2 \phi}|\alpha|^{2}+|w|^{2}\right) .
$$

## From IIB to IIA

- Setting

$$
e^{A_{1}}=\frac{e^{2 A_{2}}}{q}
$$

the equations for $(f, h, \phi)$ become equations for a type IIB SUSY vacuum with 4 d Poincaré invariance

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}\left(e^{3 A} \Phi_{-}\right)=0 \\
& \mathrm{~d}\left(e^{2 A} \operatorname{Im} \Phi_{+}\right)=0 \\
& \mathrm{~d}\left(e^{4 A} \operatorname{Re} \Phi_{+}\right)=e^{4 A} e^{-B} * \lambda(F)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Main result
- for a 4d SUSY vacuum in type IIB

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d} s_{10}^{2}=e^{2 A}\left(\eta_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{d} s_{6}^{2}, \quad \mu=0, \cdots, 3 \\
& H_{I I B}=h \quad F_{I I B}=f+e^{0 x y z} * \lambda(f)
\end{aligned}
$$

- we can construct a non-relativistic SUSY solution in type IIA

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d} s_{10}^{2}=-e^{2 A_{1}} \mathrm{~d} t^{2}+e^{2 A_{2}}\left(\mathrm{~d} x^{2}+\mathrm{d} y^{2}\right)+\left(e^{1}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{d} s_{6}^{2} \\
& H^{I I A}=h+\mathrm{d}\left(e^{01}\right) \\
& F^{I I A}=-q\left(e^{1} f+e^{0 x y} * \lambda(f)\right)+\left(1+e^{01}\right)\left(w+e^{x y} * \lambda(w)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
e^{A_{1}}=\frac{e^{2 A}}{q} \quad e^{A_{2}}=e^{A} \quad e^{\phi_{A}}=\frac{e^{\phi}}{q}
$$

provided $\exists$ on $M_{6} \alpha$ and $w=\sum_{k=0}^{3} w_{2 k}$ satisfying the constraints above.

## $L i f_{4}$ from $A d S_{5}$ solutions

- type IIB : conformal Calabi-Yau cone over the SE with

$$
\phi=0 \quad h=0 \quad * f=4 \frac{\mathrm{~d} r}{r}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=\frac{r^{2}}{q^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t \wedge(\mathrm{~d} \varphi+\mu) \\
& F_{2}=w_{2} \\
& F_{4}=-4 r^{3} \mathrm{~d} t \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{2} \wedge \mathrm{~d} r+\frac{r^{2}}{q^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t \wedge(\mathrm{~d} \varphi+\mu) \wedge w_{2} \\
& e^{-2 \phi}=q^{2} \quad\left(4 q^{2}-\square_{Y} q^{2}=|\alpha|^{2}+\left|w_{2}\right|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\alpha, w=w_{2}$ type $(1,1)$, primitive and harmonic on $Y$

- Explicit solutions for $q$ for $T^{1,1}(q=\cos t)$ and some $Y^{p, q}$


## More general solutions

- Asymptotically $L i f_{4}$ solutions
- Supersymmetric domain walls in type IIB ( $M_{6}$ is a conformal Calabi-Yau )
- first example ${ }_{\text {kebanovo, murugan or] }}$

$$
\mathrm{AdS}_{5} \times \mathrm{T}^{(1,1)} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{AdS}_{5} \times \mathrm{S}^{5}
$$

Similar solutions for all resolved $C Y_{6}$ [marelil, sparks o8]

- non-relativistic type IIA solution solutions interpolating between $L i f_{4}$ vacua
- Solutions with hyperscaling violation [dong, harisison, kachru, torroba, wang 12]

$$
\mathrm{d} s^{2} \rightarrow \lambda^{2 \theta / D} \mathrm{~d} s^{2} \quad t \rightarrow \lambda^{z} t \quad x^{i} \rightarrow \lambda x^{i} \quad u \rightarrow \lambda u
$$

- IIB vacuum on a conic Calabi-Yau manifold
- IIA solution with $z=3 D=2$ and $\theta=2 \quad$ [narayan 12; dey, roy 12]

$$
\begin{gathered}
e^{\phi_{A}}=r \quad q=e^{-A}=1 / r \\
H^{I I A}=\mathrm{d}\left(r^{4} \mathrm{~d} t \wedge \mathrm{~d} \varphi\right), \quad F_{4}=-4 r^{3} \mathrm{~d} t \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{2} \wedge \mathrm{~d} r \quad \alpha=w=0
\end{gathered}
$$

## D1-D5-P MICROSTATES

- Construct regular, horizonless solutions with the same asymptotic as the SV black-hole

|  | $\mathbb{R}$ | $S^{1}$ | $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ | $T^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P | x | x |  |  |
| D 1 | x | x |  |  |
| D 5 | x | x |  | x |

- Known regular three charge solutions
- $5 d$ supergravity [bena, warner, 05; berglund, gimon, levi 0]
- $1 / 8$ BPS solutions smeared over the compact space $S^{1} \times T^{4}$
- Minimal $6 d$ supergravity plus (at most) one tensor multiplet [bena, gisto, stigemori, waner, 1]
- no smearing in the $S^{1}$
- The generic microstate geometries should be more general
- no smearing in $S^{1}$
- all type IIB fields are turned on
- hints from
- entropy counting Ibena, wang, warner, 08; de Eoer, elshowk, messamah, van den bleeken, 08, o9]
- worldsheet analysis [giust, russo, 12]
- dual CFT ${ }_{\text {kanitscheider, senendeis, tayor, 07] }}$
- Use GCG to find solutions directly in ten dimensions


## P-D1-D5 GEOMETRIES

- Conditions for SUSY bounds states of P-D1-D5
- existence of a null Killing vector

$$
K=\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \quad u=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(t-y) \quad v=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(t+y)
$$

- fix the polyform

$$
\Phi=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(1+e^{4 G} \operatorname{vol}_{4}+e^{4 \hat{G}} \hat{\operatorname{vol}}_{4}-e^{2 G+2 \hat{G}} \sum_{A=1}^{3} J_{A} \wedge \hat{J}_{A} \cdot+e^{4 G+4 \hat{G}} \operatorname{vol}_{4} \wedge \hat{\operatorname{vol}}_{4}\right)
$$

where

$$
J_{A}, \hat{J}_{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2) \text { structures on } Y^{4} \text { and } T^{4}
$$

## SOLUTION

- Assume that $T^{4}$ is rigid and all fields are isotropic along $T^{4}$
- General form of the solution
- metric

$$
\mathrm{d} s_{(10)}^{2}=-\frac{2 \alpha}{\sqrt{Z \tilde{Z}}}(\mathrm{~d} v+\beta)[\mathrm{d} u+\omega+W(\mathrm{~d} v+\beta)]+\sqrt{Z \tilde{Z}} \mathrm{~d} s_{4}^{2}+\sqrt{\frac{Z}{\tilde{Z}}} \mathrm{~d} \hat{s}_{4}^{2} .
$$

- dilaton

$$
e^{2 \phi}=\alpha \frac{Z}{\tilde{Z}} .
$$

- NS and RR fields are completely determined as functions of

$$
\omega, \beta, \mathcal{W}, Z, \tilde{Z}, Z_{b}, \Theta, \tilde{\Theta}, \Theta_{b}
$$

- the functions and forms above must satisfy the constraints

$$
\begin{gathered}
*_{4} \mathcal{D} \beta=\mathcal{D} \beta \\
\mathcal{D} J_{A}-\dot{\beta} \wedge J_{A}=0 \\
\mathcal{D} \omega+*_{4} \mathcal{D} \omega=Z *_{4} \Theta+\tilde{Z} \tilde{\Theta}-Z_{b}\left(\Theta_{b}+*_{4} \Theta_{b}\right)-2 W \mathcal{D} \beta \\
\mathcal{D} \Theta-\dot{\beta} \wedge \Theta=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} v} *_{4}(\mathcal{D} \tilde{Z}+\tilde{Z} \dot{\beta}) \\
\mathcal{D} \tilde{\Theta}-\dot{\beta} \wedge \tilde{\Theta}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} v} *_{4}(\mathcal{D} Z+Z \dot{\beta}) \\
\mathcal{D} \Theta_{b}-\dot{\beta} \wedge \Theta_{b}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} v} *_{4}\left(\mathcal{D} Z_{b}+Z_{b} \dot{\beta}\right) \\
\mathcal{D} *_{4}(\mathcal{D} Z+\dot{\beta} Z)=-\tilde{\Theta} \wedge \mathcal{D} \beta \\
\mathcal{D} *_{4}(\mathcal{D} \tilde{Z}+\dot{\beta} \tilde{Z})=-\Theta \wedge \mathcal{D} \beta \\
\mathcal{D} *_{4}\left(\mathcal{D} Z_{b}+\dot{\beta} Z_{b}\right)=-\Theta_{b} \wedge \mathcal{D} \beta
\end{gathered}
$$

and Einstein equation in $v v$ direction

## EXAMPLE

- Start from Mathur, Saxena and Srivastava solution
- first example of a microstate geometry for the three-charge black hole
- deformation of the D1-D5 geometry corresponding to a RR state in the dual CFT carrying one unit of momentum
- embedding it in our 10d ansatz
- determine the non linear completion
- extend it to the asymptotically flat region


## CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

- GCG can be used to study fully $10 d$ geometries
- less insight into the geometric structure of the solutions
- powerful tool to compute explicit solutions
- non relativistic solutions and black hole microstate
- Study more formal properties
$\rightarrow$ role of symmetries
$\rightarrow$ solution generating techniques
$\rightarrow$ relation to gauge supergravity and effectve actions Extend the analysis to more general backgrounds


## SPINOR vs METRIC AND B-FIELD

- G-structures and metric
- a metric defines an $O(d)$ structure
- a G-structure determines the metric if $G \subset O(d)$
- Same argument on $T \oplus T^{*}$
- the metric plus B-field define

$$
O(9,1) \times O(9,1) \text { structure } \quad \rightarrow \quad\left\{\vec{\Gamma}_{M N}, \overleftarrow{\Gamma}_{M N}\right\}
$$

- $\Phi$ defines

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\operatorname{Spin}(7))^{2} \times S L(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{H}_{33} \\
\text { structure }
\end{gathered} \rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\omega_{21}^{I_{1} J_{1}} \vec{\Gamma}_{I_{1} J_{1}}, \omega_{21}^{I_{2} J_{2}} \overleftarrow{\Gamma}_{I_{2} J_{2}}, \\
\vec{\Gamma}_{-1 I_{1}}, \overleftarrow{\Gamma}_{-2 I_{2}}, \vec{\Gamma}_{+1-1}+\overleftarrow{\Gamma}_{+2-2} \\
\vec{\Gamma}_{-1} \overleftarrow{\Gamma}_{I_{2}}, \vec{\Gamma}_{I_{1}} \overleftarrow{\Gamma}_{-2} \\
\vec{\Gamma}_{-1} \overleftarrow{\Gamma}_{+2}, \vec{\Gamma}_{+1} \overleftarrow{\Gamma}_{-2}, \vec{\Gamma}_{-1} \overleftarrow{\Gamma}_{-2}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

- Since $G \supset O(9,1) \times O(9,1)$, we need extra objects not invariant under $\vec{\Gamma}_{M} \overleftarrow{\Gamma}_{N}$

$$
\left(\Phi, \vec{\Gamma}_{+1}, \overleftarrow{\Gamma}_{+2}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\operatorname{Ann}\left(\Phi, \vec{\Gamma}_{+1}, \overleftarrow{\Gamma}_{+2}\right)=\operatorname{Spin}(7) \times \operatorname{Spin}(7) \subset O(9,1) \times O(9,1)
$$

- Not such a problem in $d=6$

$$
S U(3) \times S U(3) \subset O(6) \times O(6)
$$

