Tied chiral and $U_A(1)$ symmetry breaking and restoration at $T > 0$, and η' and η at RHIC

Talk presented 12. of June 2018. in Lisbon, Portugal at

COST action CA15213 THOR Working Group I & II & GDRI Meeting

THOR meets THOR

at Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa

11. - 14. of June 2018

Dubravko Klabučar[1] in collaboration with **Davor Horvatić**[1] and **Dalibor Kekez**[2]

[1]Physics Department, Faculty of Science – PMF, University of Zagreb, Croatia
[2]Rudjer Bošković Institute, Zagreb, Croatia

Chiral symmetry ⇒ DS approach = good tool for pseudoscalars

Dyson-Schwinger (DS) approach to quark-hadron physics ranges from solving DS equations for Green's functions of non-perturbative QCD *ab initio*, over simplifications like R-L truncation, to high degrees of phenomenol. modeling, esp. in applications including $T, \mu > 0$. Presently pertinent equations:

- Gap equation for dressed quark propagator of flavor q
  $S_q(p) = [S_q^{\rm free}(p)^{-1} - \Sigma_q(p)]^{-1}$ ⇒ **Dynamical Chiral Symm. Breaking**



- Homogeneous Bethe-Salpeter equation for a *M*eson $q\bar{q}'$ bound state vertex $\Gamma_{q\bar{q}'}$, and its mass eigenvalue $M^2_{q\bar{q}'}$



But can DS approach be useful for η' and η ?

- DS approach to quark-hadron physics = nonpertubative, covariant bound state approach with strong connections with QCD.
- *e.g.*, understanding chiral symmetry (ChS) & its breaking (esp. dynamical, DChSB), is crucial for understanding QCD ground state and its excitations
- → It is important that DS approach has chiral behavior as in QCD: light pseudoscalar octet mesons = both $q\bar{q}'$ composites and almost-Goldstones: $M^2_{q\bar{q}'} = \mathrm{const}\,(m_q + m_{q'})$ for consistent truncations, e.g., R-L
- ... which is ONLY the **non-anomalous part**, vanishing in the chiral limit!
- $U_A(1)$-breaking **gluon axial anomaly** contributes to masses of isoscalars η' and η inducing ∞-many transitions like:
- Hidden-flavor-changing transitions require kernels too far beyond R-L truncation!



Gluon anomaly = supressed as $1/N_c$ ⇒ view the anomalous mass (taken from lattice or phenomenology) as a perturbation with respect to the non-anomalous part of η-η' mass matrix of $M^2_{q\bar{q}}$ calculated through consistent R-L truncation.

Anomaly, NS-S mass matrix & mixing in η-η' complex + WVR connection

- $SU(3)$ flavor-broken ($X = f_\pi / f_{s\bar{s}} < 1$) nonstrange ($NS$) – strange ($S$) basis

$$|\eta_{NS}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|u\bar{u}\rangle + |d\bar{d}\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|\eta_8\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|\eta_0\rangle \; ,$$

$$|\eta_S\rangle = |s\bar{s}\rangle = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|\eta_8\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|\eta_0\rangle \; .$$

- the η–η' matrix in this basis (but isosymmetric, $M_{u\bar{u}} = M_{d\bar{d}} = M_\pi$) is

$$\hat{M}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} M^2_{\eta_{NS}} & M^2_{\eta_S \eta_{NS}} \\ M^2_{\eta_{NS}\eta_S} & M^2_{\eta_S} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M^2_{u\bar{u}} + 2\beta & \sqrt{2}\beta X \\ \sqrt{2}\beta X & M^2_{s\bar{s}} + \beta X^2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\phi} \begin{pmatrix} M^2_\eta & 0 \\ 0 & M^2_{\eta'} \end{pmatrix}$$

- NS–S mixing relations (related to η_8-η_0 ones by $\theta = \phi - \arctan\sqrt{2}$)

$$|\eta\rangle = \cos\phi|\eta_{NS}\rangle - \sin\phi|\eta_S\rangle \; , \quad |\eta'\rangle = \sin\phi|\eta_{NS}\rangle + \cos\phi|\eta_S\rangle \; .$$

- almost-Goldstones obey $M^2_{q\bar{q}'} = \mathrm{const}\,(m_q + m_{q'})$ $\Rightarrow$ express fictitious (at low T) RLA pseudoscalar $s\bar{s}$ mass: $M^2_{s\bar{s}} = 2M^2_K - M^2_\pi$.
  β is then related to anomalous mass, since the matrix trace demands

$$\beta\,(2 + X^2) = M^2_\eta + M^2_{\eta'} - 2M^2_K = \frac{2N_f}{f^2_\pi}\,\chi_{\text{YM}} \quad (2^{\text{nd}}\text{equality} = \text{WV relation})$$

Gap and Bethe-Salpeter equations in the rainbow-ladder truncation

$$S_q(p)^{-1} = i\gamma\cdot p + \widetilde{m}_q + \frac{4}{3}\int \frac{d^4\ell}{(2\pi)^4}\, g^2 G^{\rm eff}_{\mu\nu}(p-\ell)\gamma_\mu S_q(\ell)\gamma_\nu$$

$$\to S_q(p) = \frac{1}{i\not{p}A_q(p^2)+B_q(p^2)} = \frac{-i\not{p}A_q(p^2)+B_q(p^2)}{p^2A_q(p^2)^2+B_q(p^2)^2} = \frac{1}{A_q(p^2)}\,\frac{-i\not{p}+m_q(p^2)}{p^2+m_q(p^2)^2}$$

$$\Gamma_{q\bar{q}'}(p,P) = -\frac{4}{3}\int \frac{d^4\ell}{(2\pi)^4} g^2 G^{\rm eff}_{\mu\nu}(p-\ell)\gamma_\mu S_q(\ell+\frac{P}{2})\Gamma_{q\bar{q}'}(\ell,P)S_q(\ell-\frac{P}{2})\gamma_\nu$$

- Euclidean space: $\{\gamma_\mu,\gamma_\nu\}=2\delta_{\mu\nu}$, $\gamma_\mu^\dagger=\gamma_\mu$, $a\cdot b = \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i b_i$
- P is the total momentum, $M^2 = -P^2$ meson mass^2
- $G^{\rm eff}_{\mu\nu}(k)$ an "effective gluon propagator" - modeled !

Renormalization-group improved interactions

$$\text{Landau gauge gluon propagator}: \;\; g^2 G^{\text{eff}}_{\mu\nu}(k) = G(-k^2)(-g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2}),$$

$$G(Q^2) \equiv 4\pi \frac{\alpha_s^{\text{eff}}(Q^2)}{Q^2} = G_{\text{UV}}(Q^2) + G_{\text{IR}}(Q^2), \qquad Q^2 \equiv -k^2 \; .$$

$$G_{\text{UV}}(Q^2) = 4\pi \frac{\alpha_s^{\text{pert}}(Q^2)}{Q^2} \approx \frac{4\pi^2 d}{Q^2 \ln(x_0 + \frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2_{\text{QCD}}})} \{1 + b \frac{\ln[\ln(x_0 + \frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2_{\text{QCD}}})]}{\ln(x_0 + \frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2_{\text{QCD}}})}\} \, ,$$

- but modeled non-perturbative part, e.g., Jain & Munczek:

$$G_{\text{IR}}(Q^2) = G_{\text{non-pert}}(Q^2) = 4\pi^2 \, a \, Q^2 \, \exp(-\mu Q^2) \quad (\text{similar}: \;\; \text{Maris, Roberts...})$$

- or, the dressed propagator with dim. 2 gluon condensate $\langle A^2 \rangle$-induced dynamical gluon mass (Kekez & Klabučar):

$$G(Q^2) = 4\pi \frac{\alpha_s^{\text{pert}}(Q^2)}{Q^2} \left(\frac{Q^2}{Q^2 - M^2_{\text{gluon}} + \frac{c_{\text{ghost}}}{Q^2}} \right)^2 \frac{Q^2}{Q^2 + M^2_{\text{gluon}} + \frac{c_{\text{gluon}}}{Q^2}} \; .$$

Separable model = phenom. successful @low E, + easier at $T > 0$

- Simplifying **separable Ansatz**: $G^{\rm eff}_{\mu\nu}(p-q) \to \delta_{\mu\nu}\, G(p^2, q^2, p\cdot q)$

  where $\quad G(p^2, q^2, p\cdot q) = D_0\ f_0(p^2) f_0(q^2) + D_1\ f_1(p^2)(p\cdot q) f_1(q^2)$

- If form factors $f_0(p^2), f_1(p^2)$ & their strength parameters D_0, D_1 are **all** $\neq 0$, this is a **rank-2 model.** (If only $f_0(p^2) \neq 0 \neq D_0$, this is a **rank-1 model.)**

- In the separable model, the gap equation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_f(p^2) &= \widetilde{m}_f + \frac{16}{3}\int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} G(p^2, q^2, p\cdot q) \frac{B_f(q^2)}{q^2 A_f^2(q^2) + B_f^2(q^2)} \\
\Big[A_f(p^2) - 1\Big]\, p^2 &= \frac{8}{3}\int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} G(p^2, q^2, p\cdot q) \frac{(p\cdot q) A_f(q^2)}{q^2 A_f^2(q^2) + B_f^2(q^2)} \, .
\end{aligned}
$$

- This gives $B_f(p^2) = \widetilde{m}_f + b_f\ f_0(p^2)$ and $A_f(p^2) = 1 + a_f\ f_1(p^2)$, reducing to nonlinear equations for constants b_f and a_f. For rank-1, $A_f(p^2) = 1$.
- At $T > 0$ (and/or $\mu > 0$), $p \to p_n = (\omega_n, \vec{p})$, where $\omega_n = (2n+1)\pi T - i\mu$
- Due to loss of $O(4)$ symmetry at $T > 0$ (and/or $\mu > 0$) ,

$$
i\not{p} A_f(p^2) \longrightarrow i\vec{\gamma}\cdot\mathbf{p}\, A_f({p_n}^2) + i\gamma_4 \omega_n C_f({p_n}^2), \quad \text{implying } a_f \to \{a_f, c_f\}
$$

DSE can be modeled to get close to desired aspects of QCD, *e.g.*, by more realistic interactions (non-local *vs.* NJL oft. used with 't Hooft det)

- in NJL - severe divergences (which must be regularized with very low cutoffs $\Lambda \sim 1$ GeV or less).

Not so in non-local mod's!

- in NJL – no confinement! $M_q =$ const, so quarks can come on mass shell $p^2 = -M_q^2$ even at low E.
- in NJL $Z_q = 1$
- but **in QCD**, $M_q =$ $= M_q(p^2) = B(p^2)/A(p^2)$, $Z_q = Z_q(p^2) = 1/A(p^2)$, **also in non-local models!**

$M_q(p^2)^2 \neq -p^2 \Rightarrow$ no free quarks
Fit non-local DSE models to lattice to mimic QCD!



pictures taken from [Noguera, Scoccola, PRD78 (2008) 114002]

Dynamical chiral symmetry breaking (DChSB) and its restoration at high T

- DChSB dresses light ($q = u, d, s$) current quarks and so creates much more massive constituent quarks, and QCD vacuum condensates $\langle q\bar{q}\rangle$, and (very light) pseudoscalar mesons as (almost-) Goldstone bosons:



- … while the separable model gives $T_{d,u} = 107$ MeV, $T_{\rm Ch} = 128$ MeV and $T_{d,s} = 166$ MeV – better, but still not good in the view of lattice results!
- different deconfinement temperatures $T_{d,u}$, $T_{d,s}$ … can all be synchronized with realistic $T_{\rm Ch}(= T_{cri})$ by Polyakov loop, [Horvatić&al,PRD84(2011) while keeping other features ⇒ give T/T_c dependence.

Experimental observation of in-medium η' mass reduction

- High E heavy ion collisions $\Rightarrow$ hot and dense medium ...
  what about η and η' there ?
- $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV central Au+Au reactions at RHIC $\Rightarrow$ enhanced η' abundance = the first experimental signature of a partial $U_A(1)$ symmetry restoration?
- Csörgő, Vértesi & Sziklai [Phys. Rev. Lett. **105** (2010) 182301; Phys. Rev. C **83** (2011) 054903.]:
  Combined STAR & PHENIX data analyzed robustly through six popular models for multiplicities (ALCOR, FRITIOF, ...) $\Rightarrow$ **at 99,9% confidence level, η' mass is reduced by at least 200 MeV inside fireball.**
  (central value $M^*_{\eta'} = 340^{+50}_{-60}(statist.)^{+280}_{-140}(model) \pm 42(system.)$ MeV)
  = *"The return of the prodigal Goldstone boson!"*
  [J. I. Kapusta, D. Kharzeev and L. D. McLerran, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 5028 (1996).]
- [Csörgő, ISMD 2017, Tlaxala, Mex.]: Data in a new paper by PHENIX collaboration (Adare et al, arXiv:1709.05649[nucl-ex], to appear in Phys.Rev.C) enable a new analysis of this type. **Preliminary results support the above 2010. claims of the η' mass drop** (to almost M_η).

Breaking on quantum level of $U_A(1)$ symmetry of QCD causes anomalously high η' mass

$U_A(1)$ symmetry breaking is why $\eta_0 \approx \eta'$ has an anomalous piece of mass since $U_A(1)$ symmetry is broken by nonabelian ("gluon") axial anomaly: even in the chiral limit (ChLim, where $m_q \to 0$),

$$\partial_\alpha \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^\alpha\gamma_5 \frac{\lambda^0}{2}\psi(x) \propto F^a(x)\cdot \widetilde{F}^a(x) \equiv \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^a_{\mu\nu}(x) F^a_{\rho\sigma}(x) \neq 0 \,.$$

This breaks the $U_A(1)$ symmetry of QCD and precludes the 9^{th} Goldstone pseudoscalar meson $\Rightarrow$ very massive η': even in ChLim, where $m_\pi, m_K, m_\eta \to 0$, still ('ChLim WVR')

$$0 \neq \Delta M^2_{\eta_0} = \Delta M^2_{\eta'} = \frac{(A = \mathrm{qty.dim.mass})^4}{(\text{“}f_{\eta'}\text{”})^2} = \frac{6\,\chi_{\mathrm{YM}}}{f_\pi^2} + O(\frac{1}{N_c})$$

$$\mathrm{Out\ of\ ChLim:}\quad {M_{\eta'}}^2 + {M_\eta}^2 - 2\,{M_K}^2 = \frac{2N_f}{f_\pi^2}\,\chi_{\mathrm{YM}} \quad \left(+O(\frac{1}{N_c})\right)$$

Anomalous part of η_0 mass $\Delta M^2_{\eta_0} = \chi_{\rm YM} \frac{2N_f}{f_\pi^2} + O(\frac{1}{N_c})$

QCD chiral behavior (reproduced by (e.g.) DS approach) of the non-anomalous parts of masses of light $q\bar{q}'$ pseudoscalars (i.e., all parts except ΔM_{η_0}) : $M^2_{q\bar{q}'} = {\rm const}\,(m_q + m_{q'}), \ (q, q' = u, d, s)$.

$\Rightarrow$ non-anomalous parts of the masses in WVR cancel:
$M_{\eta'}{}^2 + M_\eta{}^2 - 2\, M_K{}^2 \approx \Delta M_{\eta_0}{}^2$, approx. as in ChLim WVR

$$\chi = \int d^4x \, \langle 0|Q(x)Q(0)|0\rangle \ , \qquad Q(x) = \frac{g^2}{64\pi^2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^a_{\mu\nu}(x) F^a_{\rho\sigma}(x)$$

- $Q(x)$ = topological charge density operator
- In WV rel., χ is the pure-glue, YM one, $\chi_{\rm YM} \leftrightarrow \chi_{quench}$, reproduced reliably by lattice, but for χ of light-flavor QCD, use Di Vecchia-Veneziano

relation:
$$\chi = -\frac{\langle \bar{q}q\rangle_0}{\sum_{q=u,d,s} \frac{1}{m_q}} + \mathcal{C}(\text{unknown corrections, higher } \mathcal{O} \text{ in small } m_q)$$

.

Results on η and η' (at $T = 0$) with $\Delta M_{\eta_0} = 6\chi_{\rm YM}/f_\pi^2$ from WVR

After pions and kaons are correctly described, good choice of the anomalous mass shift parameter ($\beta \sim \frac{1}{3}\Delta M_{\eta_0}$) is sufficient to get good η' and η: (Good $\eta, \eta' \to \gamma\gamma$ also add to *a posteriori* justification.)

	$\beta_{\rm fit}$	$\beta_{\rm latt.}$	Exp.
θ	-12.22°	-13.92°	
M_η [MeV]	548.9	543.1	547.75
$M_{\eta'}$ [MeV]	958.5	932.5	957.78
X	0.772	0.772	
3β [GeV^2]	0.845	0.781	

- $X = f_\pi/f_{s\bar{s}}$ as well as the whole non-anomalous mass matrix $\hat{M}^2_{NA}$ (consisting of M_π and $M_{s\bar{s}}$) are SD model R-L calculated quantities.
- $\beta_{\rm latt.} = \Delta M_{\eta_0}/(2 + X^2)$ was obtained from $\chi_{\rm YM}(T = 0) = (175.7 \ {\rm MeV})^4$
- But is an extension to high T possible, as there is a large mismatch of characteristic temperature scales of the pure-gauge YM ($T_c \sim 270$ MeV) vs. full QCD ($T_c \sim 160$ MeV) with quarks?
- $\Rightarrow$ in WVR, $\chi_{\rm YM}$ is more T-resistant than QCD quantities $M_{\eta,\eta',K}$ and f_π.
- $\Rightarrow$ Conflict with experiment [Horvatić&al,PRD76(2011)] ... Does WVR become unusable as T approaches $T_{\rm Ch}$ of full QCD ?
- But Shore's generalization of WVR does **NOT** have this mismatch of the full QCD and pure-gauge YM temperature scales! Try this?

Shore's generalization of WV valid to all orders in $1/N_c$

$$(f^0_{\eta'})^2 M^2_{\eta'} + (f^0_{\eta})^2 M^2_{\eta} \;=\; \tfrac{1}{3}\left(f^2_\pi M^2_\pi + 2 f^2_K M^2_K\right) + 6A \qquad (1)$$

$$f^0_{\eta'} f^8_{\eta'} M^2_{\eta'} + f^0_{\eta} f^8_{\eta} M^2_{\eta} \;=\; \tfrac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}\left(f^2_\pi M^2_\pi - f^2_K M^2_K\right) \qquad (2)$$

$$(f^8_{\eta'})^2 M^2_{\eta'} + (f^8_{\eta})^2 M^2_{\eta} \;=\; -\tfrac{1}{3}\left(f^2_\pi M^2_\pi - 4 f^2_K M^2_K\right) \qquad (3)$$

The role of $\chi_{\rm YM}$ taken over by the full QCD topological charge parameter A ,

$$A = \frac{\chi}{1+\chi\left(\frac{1}{\langle\bar{u}u\rangle\, m_u} + \frac{1}{\langle\bar{d}d\rangle\, m_d} + \frac{1}{\langle\bar{s}s\rangle\, m_s}\right)} \qquad (4)$$

- A should behave with T as a full QCD quantity
- ... **but**, at $T=0$ it is known that $A = \chi_{\rm YM} + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N_c})$

Note (1)+(3) $\Rightarrow (f^0_{\eta'})^2 M^2_{\eta'} + (f^0_{\eta})^2 M^2_{\eta} + (f^8_{\eta})^2 M^2_{\eta} + (f^8_{\eta'})^2 M^2_{\eta'} - 2 f^2_K M^2_K = 6A$

- Then, large N_c limit and 'off-diagonal' $f^0_{\eta}, f^8_{\eta'} \to 0$, as well as $f^0_{\eta'}, f^8_{\eta}, f_K \to f_\pi$, recovers the standard WV.

Approximate all 3 light condensates by $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle_0$, the chiral-limit one!

This reduces the full QCD topological charge A, Eq. (4), to the remarkable Leutwyler-Smilga relation (LS), which is still valid for both large and small values of m_q:

$$\chi_{\rm YM} = \frac{\chi}{1 + \frac{\chi}{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle_0} \sum\limits_{q=u,d,s} \frac{1}{m_q}} \equiv \widetilde{\chi} \to \widetilde{\chi}(T) \approx A(T)$$

where for the light quarks $\quad \chi = - \dfrac{1}{\sum\limits_{q=u,d,s} \frac{1}{m_q \, \langle \bar{q}q \rangle_0}} + \mathcal{C}(m)$

.

- $\mathcal{C}(m)$ = small corrections of higher orders in small m_q, ... but $\mathcal{C}(m)$ should not be neglected, since $\mathcal{C}(m) = 0$ would imply that $\chi_{\rm YM} = \infty$.
- LS relation fixes the value of the correction at $T = 0$:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{C}(m)} = \sum_{q=u,d,s} \frac{1}{m_q \langle \bar{q}q \rangle_0} - \chi_{\rm YM}(0) \left(\sum_{q=u,d,s} \frac{1}{m_q \langle \bar{q}q \rangle_0} \right)^2 .$$

Chiral condensate $\langle q\bar{q}\rangle_0(T)$ and resulting $\widetilde{\chi}(T)$



Prediction good for η', but for η not supported by any experiment

[Benić, Horvatić, Kekez and Klabučar, Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 016006.]



Variations of model, or input or model parameters, do not change much ...



... mass drop prediction still good for η' (where Csörgő and collaborators had found this in RHIC data), but again an even larger mass drop for η, which is not supported by any experiment.

A solution: $U_A(1)$ breaking from realistic condensates



Instead of the fast-falling chiral-limit condensate $\langle \bar{q}q\rangle_0$, try $\langle \bar{q}q\rangle$ condensates with realistic explicit chiral symmetry breaking: replace $m_q \langle \bar{q}q\rangle_0 \to m_q \langle \bar{q}q\rangle$, $(q = u, d, s)$ in χ, like in the original A.

T-dependence of pseudoscalar decay constants f_P:



FKS scheme on Shore $\Rightarrow$ how f_P influence elements of the η-η' mass matrix:

(*in* 2014 :) $$M^2_{\rm NS} = M^2_\pi + \frac{4A}{f^2_\pi}\,, \qquad M^2_{\rm NSS} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}A}{f_\pi\, f_{s\bar{s}}}\,, \qquad M^2_{\rm S} = M^2_{s\bar{s}} + \frac{2A}{f^2_{s\bar{s}}}$$

$\Rightarrow$ T dependence of light pseudoscalars including η and η'



The model has problems with solutions for $T > 1.8\,T_c$ anyway. Now also for $T > 1.6\,T_c$, as $A(T) < 0$ due to $\chi(T)$ with $\mathcal{C}(T) = \mathcal{C}(0) \Rightarrow$ Try different $\mathcal{C}(T)$.

T-dependence of light pseudoscalars up to $T = 1.8\,T_c$



$\mathcal{C}(T) \neq$ *constant* (falling with T) enables reaching higher T's, otherwise very similar results to the previous case with $\mathcal{C}(T) = \mathcal{C}(0)$.

Summary

- Our approach ties the $U_A(1)$ SB to the DChSB so closely, that the restoration of the chiral symmetry must lead to the restoration of the $U_A(1)$ symmetry at least partially, on the level of the η' & η masses.
- Condensates with realistic **explicit** ChSB fall with T much more slowly and smoothly than $\langle q\bar{q}\rangle_0$. $\Rightarrow$ similar T-behavior of the topological charge parameter $A(T)$, in contrast to $\widetilde{\chi}(T)$.
  Then, η does not exhibit any mass drop at all.
- **The significant drop of the η' mass signals the partial restoration of $U_A(1)$ symmetry, as a gradual crossover.**
  The behavior of η' is not changed much when condensates are changed from the chiral $\langle q\bar{q}\rangle_0$ to realistically massive ones: $M_{\eta'}(T)$ falls again around T_{Ch} by 300 to 200 MeV, just slower than in the old calculation with $\langle q\bar{q}\rangle_0$. After the anticrossing (with η), η' becomes a pure $s\bar{s}$ without anomalous contributions, as must be after disappearing ($\beta \to 0$) of the influence of the $U_A(1)$ breaking on isoscalar masses.
- Future work: a) using more lattice results (notably condensates).
  b) extension to finite density, $\mu > 0$.

Additional slides

Additional slides on improving the separable model by Polyakov loop

Polyakov loop

- low T: gluons "confined" in "flux tubes", high T gluons quasi–free
- Wilson line in (imaginary, $t \to i\beta$) time direction

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{N_c} \mathrm{Tr} \left[\exp \left(ig \int_0^\beta A_4(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau \right) \right]$$

- order parameter for confinement of static color sources: $\langle \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = e^{-\beta F_q(\mathbf{x})}$, expect. value of PL measures F_q = free energy of an external static quark
- confinement: $F_q \to \infty$, $\Phi \to 0$ an isolated quark would cost ∞ energy
- deconfinement: $F_q \neq \infty$, $\Phi \neq 0$ states with a single quark possible
- Mean field approximation, $\langle \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \Phi$. At $\mu = 0$: $\Phi^* = \Phi$.
- the simplest case: if the only PL background field is ϕ_3:

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{N_c} \left(1 + 2 \cos \frac{\phi_3}{T} \right) , \quad \phi_3 = g \frac{A_4^3}{2}$$

Coupling to quarks - by modifying their Matsubaras (α = quark colors):

$$\omega_n \to \omega_n^\alpha = (2n+1)\pi T + \alpha \phi_3 + (3|\alpha| - 2) \frac{\phi_8}{\sqrt{3}} , \quad (\alpha = -1, 0, 1)$$

(green denotes a possible refinement of the PL contribution)

Effective potential for PL

- due to inputs from lattice

i) Logarithmic [Roesner, Ratti, Weise, PRD75 (2007) 034007]

$$\frac{\mathcal{U}}{T^4} = -\frac{1}{2} a \Phi^* \Phi + b \log[1 - 6\Phi^*\Phi + 4(\Phi^3 + \Phi^{*3}) - 3(\Phi^*\Phi)^2],$$

$$a(T) = a_0 + a_1 \frac{T_0}{T} + a_2 \left(\frac{T_0}{T}\right)^2 \qquad b(T) = b_3 \left(\frac{T_0}{T}\right)^3,$$

$a_0 = 3.51,\ a_1 = -2.47,\ a_2 = 15.22,\ b_3 = -1.75$

ii) Polynomial [Ratti, Thaler, Weise, PRD73:014019,2006] is an alternative

$$\frac{\mathcal{U}}{T^4} = -\frac{b_2}{2}(|\Phi|^2 + |\Phi^*|^2) - \frac{b_3}{6}(\Phi^3 + (\Phi^*)^3) + \frac{b_4}{16}(|\Phi|^2 + |\Phi^*|^2)^2$$

$$b_2(T) = a_0 + a_1 \frac{T_0}{T} + a_2 \left(\frac{T_0}{T}\right)^2 + a_3 \left(\frac{T_0}{T}\right)^3$$

$a_0 = 6.75,\ a_1 = -1.95,\ a_2 = 2.625,\ a_3 = -7.44,\ b_3 = 0.75$ and $b_4 = 7.5$.

- in pure Yang-Mills: $T_0 = 270$ MeV, otherwise lower $\Rightarrow$ pushes T_c lower

Synchronization of T_{Ch} and T_d by Polyakov loop



Relative T/T_c-dependence of meson masses without and with PL



Summary of improving separable models by Polyakov loop

- Defficiency of separable models regarding reproducing the values and mutual relationships of the temperatures of deconfinement and chiral symmetry restoration in QCD, can be cured by coupling to Polyakov loop.
- Features of the meson description so obtained, give us confidence that even when a separable model is not coupled to a Polyakov loop, one can use temperature rescaling and the notion of relative temperature.